# SCIENTOLOGY AND DIANETICS

BOOKLET 24

of the

PROFESSIONAL COURSE

BY

L. RON HUBBARD

# Electro-Psychometric Auditing

Ron's Org Grenchen
Switzerland

TO THE STEADFAST AND LOYAL SUPPORTERS OF
TOMORROW AND THE THINKING MEN OF YESTERDAY


COMPILED IN WRITTEN FORM BY

D. FOLGERE
AKA RICHARD DE MILLE

ADDITIONAL STUDY MATERIAL FOR THIS LECTURE MAY BE FOUND IN
THE FOLLOWING BOOKS:

- ADVANCED PROCEDURE AND AXIOMS

- SELF ANALYSIS

- HANDBOOK FOR PRECLEARS

- DIANETICS: MODERN SCIENCE OF MENTAL HEALTH (1950)

- SCIENCE OF SURVIVAL (1951)

- SYMBOLOGICAL PROCESSING

- LECTURES OF L. RON HUBBARD

    PAMPHLET COVERS ONE LECTURE

- COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS (HOW TO LIVE THOUGH AN EXECUTIVE)

- INDIVIDUAL TRACK MAP

- WHAT TO AUDIT

# ELECTRO-PSYCHOMETRIC AUDITING

## 1.    DEMONSTRATION

Aud:    Is the earlier incident similar to this? Are you killing somebody?

Pc:    I didn't mean to kill anybody.

Aud:    You were the one that thought it up, not me.

Pc:    But you were asking me the questions.

Aud:    You can trust it can't you?

Pc:    If I did it, I'll take the responsibility for it.

Aud:    What do they do to people who kill people?

Pc:    Hang them.

Aud:    Hang them? Doesn't seem to bother you much.

Pc:    Well, I got over this idea that you only live once.

Aud:    (To Audience) The needle has not acted wildly yet.

Pc:    We haven't reached the right incident.

Aud:    What was the name of the girl you shot?

Pc:    Ethel.

Aud:    Where did you hit her?

Pc:    In the throat, maybe.

Aud:    By accident?

Pc:    Maybe.

Aud:   Did you get a somatic just then? Was it by accident? (Needle drops.) The year will flash.

Pc:    1640, I think.

Aud:   What happens in 1640?

Pc:    I'm trying to figure it out.

Aud:   Were you trying to figure it out in the incident?

Pc:    Yes. What did I do it for?

Aud:   Did you kill somebody in this year? (Snap!)

Pc:    Yes. (Needle drops.)

Aud:   Was it a man? A woman? A child?

Pc:    Yes.

Aud:   A boy?

Pc:    No.

Aud:   A girl?

Pc:    Yes.

Aud:   Was it an accident?

Pc:    No.

Aud:   Her age will flash.

Pc:    Five – or twelve.

Aud:   Was she your child?

Pc:    No.

Aud:   Why did you kill her?

Pc:    She wouldn't understand me. (Needle drops.)

Aud:   Do you have to do a life continuum on her?

Pc:     I hope not. (Slight drop. )

Aud:    All right. This incident isn't hot enough. The date of an earlier incident on this chain – a serious one – will flash.

Pc:     2031 B.C. (Needle drops.)

Aud:    What happened in 2031 B. C.?

Pc:     I killed my mother. (Needle drops. )

Aud:    Did you regret it?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Is there an overt act earlier?

Pc:     No. Later.

Aud:    The date will flash.

Pc:     1624 A.D.

Aud:    What happened in 1624 A. D.?

Pc:     I paralyzed somebody.

Aud:    How?

Pc:     With my hands.

Aud:    Which side did you paralyze them on?

Pc:     The left.

Aud:    You say that you always get the somatic on the left side?

Pc:     Oh, that's a little somatic, not a big one.

Aud:    When you face somebody that you kill, you get a mirror image of him, on the somatic.

Pc:     Well, the big somatic I have is on the right.

Aud:    Is this a woman?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    All right. Now that we have that incident into view, let's get the important one, the big overt act. Is it against Christ?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Have you always been a good Christian? (Needle drops.)

Pc:     Indifferent.

Aud:    (To audience.) We are just unstacking minor incidents now, according to the readings on the meter. (To the pre-clear.) The date of THE overt act will flash.

Pc:     1234 B.C.

Aud:    Do you like snakes?

Pc:     Not very much. (Needle drops.)

Aud:    The continent will flash.

Pc:     Asia. (Needle drops.)

Aud:    How about India?

Pc:     Persia. (Needle drops.)

Aud:    Persia, 1234 B.C. What calendar?

Pc:     Khayyam's calendar.

Aud:    Would that compare to 1216 on another calendar?

Pc:     Yes. (Needle drops.)

Aud:    Did you ever hear of the cult of the snake?

Pc:     (Needle jumps.) Yes. I've been questioned on this. I didn't see the machine, but I must have fallen through the bottom.

Aud:    You don't feel as bad about 1216 as you did?

Pc:     I ran the charge off it, I suppose.

Aud:    Should we just stop running your case entirely? How would you feel if we did?

Pc:     I'd run it myself.

Aud:    You want to get rid of this stuff? Should you be hanged? Burned?

Pc:     No. Guess I'll own up to it.

Aud:    You're going to confess?

Pc:     To myself. I'm the only one I want to confess to.

Aud:    Your other name will now flash.

Pc:     John. John Paul. (Needle drops.)

Aud:    Was he your captain once?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Were you ever John Paul Jones?

Pc:     No. (Needle rising.)

Aud:    Did you fight him? Were you on the Serapis?

Pc:     Yes... whatever that was.

Aud:    He shot you to pieces? Did you get killed?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Were you a Limey at the time?

Pc:     No. (Needle rising.)

The above demonstration shows the auditor skipping about from subject to subject, trying to find something which will give a big reading on the meter. In the time allowed, nothing of this nature was contacted.

## 2.     Second Demonstration

Aud:   How long has it been since you were audited?

Pc:     Three months.

Aud:   Did anyone leave you stuck in any engrams?

Pc:     Yes, Facsimile One.

Aud:   Did that feel comfortable? Did you like your auditor for doing it?

Pc:     No.

Aud:   What did you think of right after I said, "Did that feel comfortable"?

Pc:     A stomach somatic.

Aud:   Is there terror on it?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Is this what we have to get off the case, to resolve it?

Pc:     Maybe.

Aud:   Is there an overt act sitting on top of that stomach somatic?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   What kind?

Pc:     This life.

Aud:   Did you hit somebody in the stomach?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Would you rather I didn't ask you about this?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Did it have to do with a girl?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    A guy?

Pc:     Maybe.

Aud:    A baby?

Pc:     Maybe.

Aud:    What is this tone rise? Is it the realization that you are getting out of telling about it?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Well, what if you had to answer anyhow?

Pc:     That wouldn't be good.

Aud:    Have you ever been drugged?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Operations?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Don't you remember any operations? Tonsillectomy?

Pc:     I've been told there is.

Aud:    You've been told there is a tonsillectomy. Yes, there is. It didn't bother you much, though, did it? What do we find on other kinds of drugs. Did you ever get awfully drunk?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Have you ever been drugged?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    How did it affect your stomach?

Pc:     Badly.

Aud:    Very badly?

Pc:     No.

Aud:   How long ago were you drugged?

Pc:     3,000 B.C.

Aud:   Who drugged you? A name will flash. (Snap.)

Pc:     Priest.

Aud:   Is that a lock on top of Facsimile One?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Were you told you would have dreams?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   As long as we are asking questions about 1216 B.C. – what did you have to do with twelve-something-or-other B.C.? Did you have anything to do with it?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Your nickname is Snake, isn't it? Do you like that?

Pc:     I believe I do.

Aud:   Did you ever kill a snake?

Pc:     No.

Aud:   Are you out of valence?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Whose valence are you in?

Pc:     Father.

Aud:   Was he mean? No, he wasn't. Was your mother mean?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Are you in her valence?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Are you being careful not to be in her valence? (Watching swings of the needle.) What if you found you were in her valence? Have you been drugged within the last five years?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    The last four years? The last three years?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    More than five?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    The last two years?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    The last eleven months? The last ten months? Nine? Seven? Six?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Five months ago? Four months ago? It's ten months, isn't it? Nine months? Ten months? Eleven months? What happened to you eleven months ago?

Pc:     I was in school.

Aud:    Have you been told to forget this?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Who did it? A student? Professor? Psychology class? (Pre-clear very agitated.) What did you think of? You're not supposed to think about this? What would happen if you thought about this? (Big drop of needle.) Are you supposed to forget about it?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Eleven months ago was what month?

Pc:     February, '51.

Aud:   Did this happen two or three times in a row?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Over a period of about six weeks, maybe?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Does that carry it over into April?

Pc:     No.

Aud:   What did they want you to do?

Pc:     I don't know.

Aud:   Who wanted you to do it? Give me a flash answer: was it a man?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Was it more than one man?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Was it two men?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   Were they men who belonged to the University?

Pc:     Yes!

Aud:   Did they belong to a group?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:   What kind of a group? (Big drop of needle.)

Pc:     Rosicrucians.

Aud: Did they belong to another group in additon to the Rosicrucians?

Pc: Yes. (Needle drop.)

Aud: The name of the group will flash.

Pc: Theosophy. But that's impossible!

Aud: Why?

Pc: Because they're so fine.

Aud: Is that part of it – how fine they are?

Pc: Yes.

Aud: Do you want to be a part of them?

Pc: No.

Aud: What did they drug you with?

Pc: Nopal.

Aud: Did they knock you out with a mickey finn?

Pc: No.

Aud: Did they simply shoot you in the arm?

Pc: Yes.

Aud: Is there an emotional shut off here?

Pc: Yes.

Aud: I notice you are quivering. Which arm is it?

Pc: The left.

Aud: Did it go in intravenously?

Pc: Intramuscular.

Aud: Did you just sit there and submit to it?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Do you recall it now?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    What did the men look like? Would something bad happen to you if you told?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Was it in your rooms?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Was it in their rooms?

Pc:     Yes, I guess.

Aud:    Was it in a laboratory?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Their apartment? Their dormitory? Their office?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Well, what is the first cousin to an office for such people?

Pc:     Meeting place.

Aud:    Was it in front of several people?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Do you remember walking in there?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    Was the weather cold?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Was it very cold?

Pc:     No.

Aud:   Was it warm?

Pc:    No.

Aud:   What were you wearing when you went in there?

Pc:    A leather jacket.

Aud:   Who is the first person who spoke to you? His first name will flash.

Pc:    I don't want to answer that.

Aud:   Why? Would it embarrass you to answer? Yes or no, is the person present?

Pc:    Yes.

Aud:   Now do you remember?

Pc:    No. (Big needle drop.)

Aud:   You are sure that the person is present?

Pc:    No, I'm not sure.

Aud:   But a name flashed didn't it?

Pc:    Yes.

Aud:   Was that the name?

Pc:    No.

Aud:   Is there a later incident? How was this person associated with this earlier incident? Was this person there?

Pc:    Yes.

Aud:   Is there a later incident on this?

Pc:    No.

Aud:   Has any use been made of this incident?

Pc:    No.

Aud:   What is this, just an experiment?

Pc:   Yes.

(To audience.) It is very easy to audit out a PDH (Pain-drug-hypnosis). Just run it out with effort processing. As in this case, an innocent organization may be blamed for the person guilty of inflicting PDH on his unsuspecting victim. Don't bother with the phrases. (To pre-clear) that's a fine arm quiver. Are you supposed to be a Rosicrucian now?

Pc:   No.

Aud:   Do you know a lot of Rosicrucians?

Pc:   No.

Aud:   The name of the person who held the needle will flash.

Pc:   Bill.

Aud:   What did he do? What did he say? Did he persuade you before he put it in?

Pc:   No.

Aud:   Were you standing?

Pc:   Yes.

Aud:   How would you like to get the resistance to the needle penetrating that arm?

Pc:   All right.

Aud:   Get the resistance now, as the needle penetrated. Get it again. Get your resistance to that penetration. Get it again. (Three more times.) Are you getting the pain? Yes or no, is there a somatic shut off here?

Pc:   Yes.

Aud:    The shut off will flash.

Pc:     I didn't get it.

Aud:    Get the counter-thought of somebody reassuring you. Get their feeling of, "Well, this isn't going to hurt," etc. Can you get it?

Pc:     Fair.

Aud:    What is the emotion? Do they feel mean when they do it? Or disinterested? What are they doing?

Pc:     Stupid.

Aud:    They feel stupid to you?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    The somatic shut-off phrase will flash when I count from one to five. 1-2-3-4-5.

Pc:     I don't get it.

Aud:    You're not supposed to get a single word of this, is that right?

Pc:     That's right.

Aud:    Get the kickback against the counter-effort of the needle penetration again. (Repeated ten times.) What does your left ear do at the moment the needle goes in? What does your right ear do at the moment the needle goes in? Run it. What do your knees do at the moment when the needle goes in? How does the nerve in the inside of your legs feel when the needle goes in? All right, let's feel that nerve. Let's get it again. Get it again. Have you got the needle penetrating yet?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    What does the small of your back feel when the needle goes in?

Pc:    Cringe.

Aud:    Let's feel that cringe. Now, let's get your own postulate. What do you want the needle to do? Do you want it to hurt you?

Pc:    No.

Aud:    Do you make a postulate about not wanting it to hurt you?

Pc:    Yes.

Aud:    What do you say to yourself?

Pc:    It won't hurt.

Aud:    Where do you agree that you won't remember it afterwards?

Pc:    I think, towards the last.

Aud:    You agree with them that you will not remember?

Pc:    Yes.

Aud:    Were you ever told that somebody had hypnotized you?

Pc:    No.

Aud:    Have you ever been told that you shouldn't be audited?

Pc:    Yes.

Aud:    Who told you that you shouldn't be audited? (Big needle drop.) Who told you you had been hypnotized? Would you feel good if this were run out?

Pc:    Yes, I would.

Aud:    Are you satisfied that there is something there?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Do you want it audited?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Is the word "Dianetics" in the incident?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Dianetics is good?

Pc:     Maybe.

Aud:    Dianetics is bad? Dianetics can't help you?

Pc:     I get "No."

Aud:    You are supposed to leave it alone?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Does that mean you have to leave the whole incident alone?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    Do you yourself think that Dianetics is good?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    And you don't believe it's bad?

Pc:     No.

Aud:    And therefore, you'd be at cross purposes with such an incident?

Pc:     Yes.

Aud:    (Turns off meter and speaks to audience.) This has been above and beyond the normal use of the meter. If you cannot get to anything, you can ask questions about hypnotism or drugs. When this pre-clear sat down in the

chair, his arm started to quiver. That was the reason for these questions. I noticed it when he sat down, and then I called attention to it during the questions. I thought maybe it was a tonsillectomy or something of the sort. But there was very little reaction on the meter to questions about a tonsillectomy. The next thing to ask for was a drug hypnosis...

3.      A phrase such as, "This incident will not be detecable to a lie detector or other electronic device" contained in a drug-hypnosis incident may mask the effect of that incident upon an E-meter. When the pre-clear is asked about the incident or whether he has such an incident, he may answer that he has not, and there may be almost no reaction from the meter. However, the masking phrase itself will not be shut off, and if the auditor asks for such a command, the meter will begin to read.

If the pre-clear is too low on the tone scale to run the incident once it is discovered, the auditor may ask for times when the pre-clear wanted to do this or succeeded in doing it. to somebody else. These acts will lie as locks on the original incident, and removing them may allow the pre-clear to run the incident.

4.      The E-meter registers emotional charge – that is, it registers an electrical resistance which reflects emotional charge. When the pre-clear recalls an incident which is full of emotional charge, his electrical resistance increases, and this is read on the E-meter as a drop in tone.

A case which is very low on the tone scale, an apathy case for example, is so highly charged with respect to all

subjects and times that the meter is reading charge constantly. There is very little chance for the needle to move broadly, since there are very few subjects which lack charge. Auditing such a person with an E-meter is like taking a compass into a magnet factory. Everywhere is North.

When an apathy case is audited with the E-meter, therefore, it is often necessary to increase the sensitivity of the meter, by using the sensitivity control, so that minor variations in tone will appear in a readable manner on the dial.

On the other hand, a person who is comparatively high in tone may be shifting his facsimiles around at such a great rate and his facsimiles may vary so in charge that the needle will dance like a dervish at the slightest change of thought.

When auditing such a case, therefore, the auditor may have to decrease the sensitivity of the meter in order to cut down the movements of the needle and make them readable.

# SUMMARY BOOKLET 24

## Seminar Questions

1.  What does a falling E-meter needle indicate? Always?

2.  How do you relieve a P-D-H?

3.  When must you run locks on an incident?

4.  How do you set the sensitivity dial in an apathy case? For a high-toned person?

5.  What would a high-tone reading on a stupid person indicate?