

STATE OF MAN CONGRESS - 02

1 Jan 60

RESPONSIBILITY

Transcript of lecture by L. Ron Hubbard SMC-2 „*State of Man Congress*“ lectures.

RESPONSIBILITY

A lecture given on 1 January 1960

[Clearsound checked against the old reel. Omissions marked „➤”.]

It's a scroll!

Oh, wow! I better not read this. Well, I'll just post it and won't read it. Okay?

Audience: No. Read it.

Says, „To L. Ron Hubbard: In behalf of the peoples of this planet, as a token of our gratitude for your tremendous gift to mankind and in celebration of the consolidation of Scientology throughout the world this past year, we present this globe to you the first day of January 1960. The Central Organizations of the world.” And they are listed.

That's very lovely and that's very unexpected and probably completely undeserved.

The - you got a congress yet?

Audience: Yeah. Yes!

Well, hello.

Audience: Hello!

Hello.

Audience: Hello!

Okay.

Audience. Okay!

Thank you.

Audience: Thank you!

All right. Remember „*Okay, Mama*“?

Audience: Yes.

You know, I think they still talk about that in this hotel. Well, that's a real nice globe. That's a real nice globe.

➤ There's a display back there someplace of photographs but

I think this globe had better go in my Washington office, don't you?

Audience: Yes.

All right.

➤ There's a display of photographs back there concerning some plant experiments and concerning some other things and of course I know there's some pro photographers around. I see one down here, John McCormick, he's a pro and he'll probably sneer you know, but that's alright. Is Wing Angel around here? Where's Wing? Oh, hiya Wing!

➤ Voice from Audience: Hiya Ron!

➤ I was going to say as long as Wing's around, why, he'll defend my photography.

You really can't tell how glad I am to be here. I - you would be utterly amazed how „*no-havingness*“ the world at large is. You'd just be fascinated. It's just one large area of no-have. And in America we have so much, and there's so much we can do with it - if we just had a government.

Oh well, we'll pass over that nostalgic moment. It is, however, rather typical of free peoples that their governments stink. It is.

But governments should be less, not more. And this is no third dynamic speech I'm giving you here. Probably have to be edited off because I mustn't and probably completely be untrue to the United States Government. I have to support them abroad.

People come around and say, „*Why did they do this?*“ You know, „*Urhurerha!*“

Of course, my private opinion is, „*Huh-huh, God knows!*“ And I'd say, „*Well, actually, the American people are one thing and the American government is another. And the American people are just as much at odds with their government as you are with yours.*“

And they say, „*Oh, they are? That explains it.*“

But we have a lot of fun - a lot of fun in America wondering what we're going to do with our production and things like that.

Well, this problem is not extant abroad. They wonder - wonder how they're going to do without their production. And about the only little cross you have to bear is the nonsense that comes out of - of the State Department and so forth.

For instance, I wonder if you know that all the United States Government down here (Christian Herter and the rest of them) would have to do is just say to England and France and Germany and the rest of them, „*We want to sign a treaty by which an American passport would be a free passport in your country equivalent to citizenship, and an American citizen would be bound, while in your country, by your laws, and we want to sign this as a treaty. Here's the line.*“

And they'd say, „*You do?*“ And that'd be that, see?

Sounds funny, but we're in exactly the same position the Roman Empire was in a couple of thousand, twenty-five hundred years ago. Who wouldn't be a citizen of Rome?

And although there were a few countries around saying, „*Well, maybe we'd better not have very many citizens of Rome around because there won't be much left of us,*“ they never said it very loud, and they never really objected. The Roman civilization covered Earth. This is the next civilization after the Roman civilization to cover anything. And we're the proprietors of that civilization.

They wonder down here - they wonder down here in the State Department how they're going to handle international relations. Well, that's the trouble - is they think there are international relations. There aren't any international relations, there's just Americanism.

Countries have even stopped defending their own cultures. And it'd be a wonderful thing, be a wonderful thing, if an American passport was as free as an American is made in any country on Earth. But the American passport is not as free simply because somebody in the State Department hasn't found out yet.

We actually - we actually have Earth squared. There it sits. It isn't mine, it's yours. And wherever we are and whatever we're doing, it's too bad we're not backed up by the government, too.

Well, enough of that. It's a bit of worry on my part and the rest of yours part. There are several of you been abroad recently. And it's quite interesting - quite an interesting experience.

One of these days, why, you'll find Chicago everywhere. Or New York. But not, please, California. That's my Iron Curtain. No kidding, I'm very fond of California, and someday I'm going to take it back. Actually, California is doing marvelously.

- You know, I'd like to introduce just a few people here very rapidly at this congress, just to show you it is a congress. OK? May I?
- Audience: Yes.
- Alright. Now, let me introduce first a couple of very brave spirits who adventured down past the Sunday-Monday line, came through the various domains

of Neptune both south and north, and who learned that you can work while standing on your head, and who actually didn't... At this moment if it weren't for the Washington course going to be taught right after this congress, this pair would be lolling in deck chairs underneath the tropic sun, drifting homeward you know, mile by mile at seventeen knots or something like that. Instead of that they came home by jet, phwew.

- Now you know, if you don't travel by jet, you're fired. People say they can't notice this speed but they're not me. I notice every mile of the five hundred and seventy-six miles an hour of it. And the only thing I object to is the number of locations that get stacked up on one location. Get the idea? We left, we left London airport at eleven and got into New York at one. Well, of course there's the time lapse there, but you never can quite figure this out.
- Well, I'd like to introduce this pair to you because they're here actually at some sacrifice, because as I say they could be underneath the tropic sun right this moment and they aren't, and that's Dick and Jan Halpern. Stand up. And I'd like to introduce John McCormick. John's one of the good things of California, he really is, he really is. And others, just so many people here that are good people and old time people. Golly. I have to introduce practically everybody in the congress.
- Well, let me introduce Wing and Smokey Angel. Let me introduce Jack and Melodie Horner here. And Tom Maxwell. And Mr. and Mrs. Bezazian. There're just so many people to introduce to you that I'm just going to have to carry this out the rest of the congress. Right now, let me introduce you to you. Hello!
- Audience: Hello.

Okay, let's get down to something here that's very important, shall we? Let's get down to some technology. Now, I was going to save all this technology until day after tomorrow. Do you think I ought to do it?

Audience: No.

Because if I saved it till day after tomorrow, you know what would happen? I wouldn't have time to cover it. So if give it to you right now all in - all in one package, it'll take me the next two days to explain it. Okay?

Audience: Okay.

Would you like that right now?

Audience: Yes.

Let's get this off to a high whine like the turbojet racing cars you were telling me about thirty thousand years ago. If anybody restimulates on that one, I'm sorry.

Ladies and gentlemen ... I had an old friend once back in Athens who had a slave. He had a slave and this slave's name was Diogenes. And the slave used to live in a barrel. And there were very many stories concerning this slave but, actually, he was trying to

find, according to modern books, an honest man. And he used to go around in daylight with a lantern, looking for an honest man.

Now, because of the length of this microphone cord, I can't pass amongst you.

I might find an honest man!

Certainly, in the last twenty-five hundred years there has been no better opportunity or no group more eligible to deliver one up than this particular group right here and now. None more eligible - but on the other hand, I might pass amongst you in vain. And that would be very sad. That would be very sad.

But the next congress, I will be able to pass amongst you and find nothing but honest men and women. Because actually it's as much as your life's worth not to be honest.

If I ever run into this friend of mine, like I lately found Karl Marx - if I - if I ever run into this friend of mine, I'll tell him that Diogenes can come back and start looking and maybe with some success. Because processing today is fundamentally „*fundamentalized*“ and basically „*basicalized*“ on just this single horrible fact: Them as ain't honest won't get cleared, bud.

What do we mean by honesty? In this particular regard, we mean somebody who has nothing to hide.

- Step Six phenomena went astray and Step Six phenomena was simply that the pictures and masses of the bank got bigger and bigger, and more and more vicious, and more and more violent, and more and more crushing, and more and more, until the person was finally practically rubbed out. The more you ran Step Six on some people, the worse they got. And that little bug alerted us to what we have now.
- I could do an awful dirty thing here. I won't, though. I could do something terrible. I could ask for a show of hands of those people injured by Step Six, but I won't and don't raise your hand, you'd be telling on yourself. Step Six phenomena isn't what increased the bank. It wasn't running „*keep facsimiles from going away and holding them still and making them more solid*“ that made the bank worse. It was that it was being run on somebody who was hiding something from the auditor he didn't dare tell the auditor about. And that's the totality of it.

Every preclear you have lost - that is to say who didn't finish his intensives or his processing and go through to Clear - every preclear that you've had difficulty with, every person who has ever blown a course, every person who has ever blown a session, did so because they had something to hide! And until those somethings are cleared up they will never be Clear!

And if we were a religious organization going to town on the sin of man, we would at this moment have it made! We could convince with what violence, with what brimstone that you sinners had better come clean, or hellfire and damnation was going to be your lot! Man, we'd have it made.

Fortunately, we're not. So we can get something done. The greatest overt act in the world is making other people guilty of overt acts. That is the greatest overt act in the world - about which, more later. So don't think at this moment that I'm trying to make you guilty of overt acts! The only thing I'm trying to do to you is get you Clear! That's all I've got in mind. And that's why no resistance, no attack for ten years has been able to get anywhere on Dianetics and Scientology. Because basically, as far as the subject is concerned, there was nothing to hide. That's why we're still alive.

Oh, that doesn't say that I have told you all. That doesn't say that there weren't times when I could have slipped you some hot dope concerning me or conditions or organizational wobble-waggles in efforts to stay alive. And that doesn't say that I myself am not guilty occasionally of withholding something from you, because I am guilty of withholding quite a bit from you. But what I am withholding from you, basically, is how rough it's been. I've tried to keep a good public presence going. That's very often been very rough. And that I've withheld. But as far as any deep-seated, cross-purposed, tangled-up pitch no, we had nothing to hide there.

For instance I could tell you a lot about me. I could tell you a lot about my whole track. And some of it the psychiatrists would at once start saying, „*You see, we told you. We told you so. He believes he's...*“ But I'm not that one. I just set that one up. But as far as anything withheld on the standpoint of our goals, as far as any errors along that line that had to do with technology, as far as data or anything else that could make anybody better or Clear or make a better civilization, that's never been withheld and it's never been an overt act. Therefore, We're still here And that, incidentally is the only reason we're still here. Because don't think with as much shotgunning as there's been, that we would have survived it else.

Now, where a case left your session and told you that you were a very bad auditor and told you that you shouldn't have been doing it, there was enough truth in the matter for you to say, „*Yes, he's right. I'm not as good an auditor as I could be. My TR 4 stinks. So therefore, he is justified in what he is saying and therefore I will let him go. I'll go back to school and learn how to do it a little bit better or maybe I won't audit quite so much. Or maybe I'll audit only easy cases or some other such thing*“ and there were lots of reasons - lots of reasons - reason, reason, reason, reason, reason - why he should have blown session.

But there was a reason that underlay all those other reasons! And that underlying reason added up entirely and completely to just one reason: As a person, he had something to hide. Something he didn't dare let you know about, he felt. Now, in that the preclear made a tremendous mistake. Of all the peoples of Earth, of all the groups of Earth, the one least likely to mess somebody up because they found out something about him was a Dianeticist or Scientologist. These people have my confidence and have had my confidence for ten years. So therefore, it must have been pretty bad if he couldn't even tell us about it. It must have been pretty bad!

But a lot of these things are like the microbe seen through a number of magnifying lenses. And the fellow said, „*I did so-and-so,*“ and then he puts a big lens over the top of it and he looks at it - „*My Cod! That microbe looks big!*“

And then he thinks, „*Well, I actually shouldn't tell anybody, really, about this, and somehow or another I will get along without having done so, and that will be smart of me because I will get by - I will get by anyway, and then someday I will be Clear enough maybe to just as-is the whole thing*“ Swsh-swsh.

And the moment that he did that, he took another great big magnifying glass and put it on top of the stack. And now he looked at this microbe, you know? Microbe is about that long by now, you know? Has hair, teeth. And he said, „*Well, I'm a pretty bad fellow, but I'll fool them all. I'll fool them all, and I'll get auditing in spite of this and I'll go on and do good. And I will do enough good that it won't matter!*“

And of course, by that time you got this great big magnifying glass put over here - clank. And the microbe was now much bigger than he was. Everywhere he looked, he could see the microbe.

And one of these fine days you're going to get hold of that fellow, you're going to take this little microbe hunter, this E-Meter, you're going to put him on the cans and you're going to find that microbe that diseased his character.

He's going to say, „*Oh, no, there's nothing there! No, no, no, no, no. That's me breathing I can very often control the needle because I'm really OT. And whenever you say 'women' and it dives, that's emotional response because I like them!*“

But you're not going to be fooled now You're going to reach under all these magnifying glasses, you're going to pull this thing out and dump it in the carbolic acid. And that'll be the end of that man's case and he won't blow sessions anymore. He'll just sit there and go right on straight through till Clear. And that's the way it is.

The worst case has the most overts and withholds on all dynamics.

Let me call something to your attention. Only those cases only those cases which did not advance at all, one iota, never recovered anything, never got better in any way, shape or form, harmed us. They were the people who chopped us up. Why didn't they ever advance?

Look at the penalty these people were paying - to never get out of the mud at all. Ah, what a pathetic thing. And no Dianeticist or Scientologist would keep them in the mud. And if we'd just known the value of this data that I'm giving you - if we'd just known that this was at the bottom of all of that, how easy it would have been. But as poor old Joe Winter who went this route once said, „*What wonderful six-foot rearview mirrors we have with a little, tiny peephole in front.*“

But that's the history of the failed case, that's the history of the failed student, and that's the history of the enemy of Dianetics and Scientology.

He is our enemy because at any time in the last ten years we had the ability to find out. And only those people are against us who have something to hide. And this is not an opinionated, political datum. It's cast-iron technology, and for dramatic purposes, lends itself extremely well. But it is simply coldblooded, advanced Scientology and nothing else: That a case that has something to hide will run - find some excuse to run - and then will sit and jabber, jabber, jabber about how bad you are or we are.

And that case dramatizes what's wrong with the case, which is to say overt acts against the various dynamics and will dramatize it by saying there's something wrong with the auditor, something wrong with Scientology, there's something wrong with the way it is, there's something wrong... Well, we're not perfect - but we're not trying to hide anything.

I took such a case, and because he had done something to the organization, I didn't restrain myself from being punitive. I have a well-grooved pattern on being punitive, as lots of people have. You know, if people do something, you're supposed to do something to them, you know? And it's just my standpoint: The guy elects himself a victim, so I say, „*Well, all right. You're a victim.*“

But this fellow said this was bad and that was bad and the other thing was bad in his immediate area and it was all bad, bad, bad in his immediate area, and I said, „*Then why haven't you done something about it?*“

And he says, „*Well, I couldn't. Not in the position I was in. I wasn't in such a position, I was in a junior position. I wasn't - there wasn't anybody anyplace in the whole organization, and I couldn't have said anything to anybody.*“

I said, „*Why haven't you done something about it?*“

He says, „*But*“ - boom!

We haven't seen him since. Because any thetan has the potentiality of doing anything to correct anything anywhere! And he knows it. And what he does every day is the sin of omission in that he doesn't straighten it all out. And that, any case, no matter how honest, is living with at this moment.

Now, if you can stand truth, you'll live. And if you can't stand truth, you won't. And the dead ones of this planet who are walking around zombielike in the dark shadows and slippery alloys of the world can't stand truth.

And there's been an operation here on Earth which has totally dedicated itself to making truth about yourself totally unpalatable. Now, I won't mention any names and never do mention this particular organization, but it's specialty is 100 percent making you guilty of an overt act. It tells you what sin is. Out of what black morass do they tell you what sin is? If they'd used a handkerchief more often, their own noses would be clean enough to tell you what sin is.

One of these days we're going to have a collection fund. We're going to get it together to buy handkerchiefs for this organization so they can have clean enough noses to tell the rest of us what overt acts are. Sound like a good project?

Now, I don't mean to be necessarily hard upon your bank. But the last few thousand, few hundred thousand, few million, few billion years have been „*kind to the bank*“ period! And now I think we can afford a new slogan! Because „*be kind to the bank*“ hasn't worked. And „*use the bank to control*“ hasn't worked.

So our slogan here for a number of years has been a mathematical formula which says „*no bank = people*.“ Works, too. But how do you get no bank? How do you take the

Freudian unconscious, reconscious, deconscious, munconscious and „*unconscioulize*“ it? How do you pick the id out of the lib? How do you get rid of the reactive mind? How do you scotch and scrap off, wipe out and erase the facsimiles and engrams which bedevil man's days and nights? How do you do this?

Well, for ten years we've had a lot of technology. For ten years we've been able to do a lot about it. I don't think there's very much phenomena left in the bank that we haven't discovered one way or the other, one time or another. Phenomena that we haven't looked at is practically nonexistant. But what held it in place? Why did it continue to stay there? Why, with all of our hard work, did we still have people being unclear? What reward was this for the labors we invested as auditors to have somebody sitting there still going, „*Nyaaah*.“ Well, why did it happen? Why did it happen? Why did we still have aberrees when we should have had Clears, hm?

Well, I'll tell you something. This phenomenon has been running alongside of my auditing for a very long time, and I've just been sufficiently unobservant to see it until recently.

I was auditing a fellow in the - as you'll hear on one of the tapes, if you ever hear the tapes of the Melbourne ACC. And I'm auditing this fellow in an auditing demonstration. I say, „*All right. Tell me something that you could let me know*“ - or „*Think of something you could let me know. Now think of something you could withhold from me*.“

And he answered this several times, very glibly. Po-pollok, pocketa-pock, pocketa-pock, pocketa-pock. „*Yes, I could withhold that from you*.“ All of a sudden he says, „*You know, withhold from you? You know that takes some doing!*“

That was probably the only reason my auditing was faster than other people's. Matter of altitude or something like this that the person couldn't withhold things from me. That was why - people got Clear and I never paid any attention to the fact. I just thought they told everybody these things, you know?

But I used to get more Freudian traumas dumped in my lap about their sexual irregularities when they were three. You know, it's really amazing to have a fellow who devoted his entire life to exploring sexual irregularities at the age of three. I'm glad that he did because he gave us some dope and left a tremendous area with some knowns in it instead of totally unknown. But nevertheless, now that you look back on it, it's awfully hard to be sexually irregular when you're three. And I apologize to those younger thetans that are in our midst here for making a crack about it.

But wherever a pc sits down and starts telling you all, all you have to do is listen. Well, that doesn't say necessarily that they have to tell you everything they ever did and all the crimes they've ever committed and all the irregularities they have ever gone through in order to get Clear. That doesn't tell you that, but it tells you that those fellows that don't make very much progress in auditing or that the auditing is slow on - it tells you that those people are sitting on one that would blow the head off the purity league very easily. And you realize, of course, that more - most purity leagues are very hardened people.

Now, the person who makes no advance at all, who never gets anyplace at all - oh, now, look! I'll have to let you in on this -amongst us Scientologists. I'll just have to let you in on this: The person is guilty of a crime for which they could be jailed or punished in this lifetime if it were divulged.

Now, when you get into that level, you've had it. In other words, he robbed a bank or something. But the crime is serious, and the person could be arrested for it or punished for it in present time if it were revealed! And this fellow, by the commission of that crime, trapped himself as far as auditing was concerned, unless he actually does straighten it out one way or the other and get it cleared up because his case isn't going to move that far until he does!

For ten years we've seen this phenomena and we wondered what it was all about. We wondered why we couldn't audit anything on this fellow. He just, you know, sat there, you know. „Yes,“ he'd say, „yes.“

And you'd say, „*Double match terminal a couple of dogs now.*“ He would. „Yes,“ he'd say, „Yes.“

End of session come along: „*How do you feel?*“

„*Oh, I feel terrible.*“

„*Thank you. That's it.*“

Why? Why? Why? Well, we already know the technology: He's auditing across a present time problem. And it comes under the heading of the technology which we already have, which is a present time problem or an ARC break.

Now, he can't go into two-way communication with the auditor so he never goes into session! He's never in-session because he can't be in two-way communication. And he can't take his attention off of what his attention is fixedly on and put it on any other part of the bank because he has a present time problem all the time, whether he realizes it or not. He doesn't know it, he just kind of „*knows*“ it, you know?

And his attention is always fixedly on this thing. But it's the one thing that he can't reveal which makes a total plug to any auditing happening. And there it is, and it just locks him up just as nice as though they'd thrown him in jail.

I can tell you something. It'd be better off to be in jail than never have Scientology work. Wouldn't it? Because that means you're going to be in jail from here on out. Locked up in a ruddy head with no freedom and no power. That - I tell you, that's a sentence, isn't it? Not even until death do us part. But forever and ever and ever as long as ye shall erg. That's how long. Fellow's passed sentence on himself already.

But that doesn't give him any right to give the auditor the bad time that he gives an auditor, does it? Hm? Because he now blames the auditor for what he himself won't divulge, and he says it's the auditor's fault.

Well, basically, technologically, it has been our collective fault for not getting our cotton-picking fingers deeper into his cotton-picking reactive bank with one of these things.

Now, these things are now available - actually are manufactured on every continent. Nice gimmick. And they'll tell you what the score is. And they'll go for broke. And there's things now - there's by the way a new booklet on this, a new electrometer booklet - and I'm going to give you addenda to that booklet right here in this congress concerning the functions of this here particular gimmigahoogit with the electronic whizzlebubs.

There's a lot of things to know about this thing. And one of them is that the person who has the greatest crimes has the least responsibility on all dynamics and at first registers the least on a meter. The meter only registers those things he still has some slight responsibility for. So there's ways and means of walking around a case on one of these meters we didn't know about before. And the greatest condemnation, you might say, of a case is that it didn't react on a meter. Case didn't react very much on a meter? Well, the auditor knows where to go from there.

Another thing is - I'll tell you more about it later - but the needle is not as important as the motion of the tone arm. The motion of the tone arm is a better detector than the needle. Interesting, huh?

Now of course, this machine here - you young sprouts that are in the audience will probably live in a civilization where to get a job you'll have to be checked out on an electrometer, and where they won't have unreasonable and destructive revolutions for the excellent reason that most of the criminals are missing out of the society.

- By the way, I get in some good licks unexpectedly once in a while. I was riding with a fellow who was in charge of one of the largest mills in northern England on a jet plane and I was talking to him about this and that. And as close as I could come to telling, making him understand about what I was doing is I was finding out about people. And he could understand this. And I asked him if he had any communists working for him in his company and organization and so forth. „Oh,“ he says, „Oh yes. A few, you know, shop stewards and things like that.“ And I said, „Well, you having any trouble with any strikes or anything like that?“ „Oh yes, yes, terrible trouble with strikes and so forth.“
- I said, „Well you know, I found out something very funny recently. The communists always have criminal backgrounds. Every time we find a communist we find somebody with a criminal background.“ And this fellow said, „What?“ And I said, „Yes,“ I said, „It just goes together,“ I said, „It's a funny thing we found lately. I thought you might be interested.“

Now look, there are a lot of people in the society (quote) „doing good“ or „trying to change the society“ because they themselves owe a debt to society which they are trying to pay in an oblique way.

I'll tell you something very funny: that is simply a compulsion that never gets any place. All of us owe a debt to society as far as that goes. We owe our services on all dynamics. But when we have to give them under compulsion, they don't work very well. So this idea of „the fellow is trying to do good because he has been so bad“ generally winds up with us all in the soup. The funny part of it is, he'll do ten times as much good, a

thousand times as much good, if he himself doesn't have to do any good at all. Quite remarkable.

So there's no reason for this mechanism beyond the fact that certain individuals individuate and fall away in the society, fall away from the dynamics to such a degree that they become something else than human, become something else that isn't alive, that's sort of a synthetic something that crawls through life and tries to get along.

When you try to audit a person who is guilty of too many overt acts, he makes no progress. When you audit a person who has a few he had better not say, he makes slow progress and is once in a while a little blowy, once in a while tries to leave, once in a while tries to get out of school or get out of a session. And we've long since known that when we got somebody with hardly any up the line he'd tell us those.

It's an old HGC phenomenon: The fellow comes in and he says, „*Sh, I urnm mmmm-rnmrnm-mmm ...*“ And he goes out saying, „*Wtsch! Tell anybody you want.*“ Get the idea? I mean he had a change.

Well we knew that, but the reverse was actually what we were looking for. We were looking for the fellow who was doing this, you see, to find the fellow who was causing any trouble, to find the fellow who wasn't doing constructive things, to find the fellow who wasn't getting the show on the road. That was the destructive person.

You are looking here - and we will go into it much more later - but you are looking here at something - something that has very far-reaching ramifications, something that goes out across a great many future millennia. You're looking at something here which has meaning.

It only takes one cognition on anybody's part to just go for broke and make it true - a world without criminals, a world of effective people. The only cognition you have to - is it isn't necessary to have overt act-motivator sequence as part of the game! The second you have a subjective reality on that, you just drop the rest of it as though it's hot chestnuts, just brrrr. As a matter of fact, the overt act-motivator sequence game - „*You're guilty, we'll punish you. I'm guilty, you punish me. I'm a victim, therefore you've done so-and-so. You're a victim, therefore I've done so-and-so*“ - this isn't even vaguely necessary to any enjoyment of life or any game of any fun!

You just look it over, and you'll find out that all the games you've enjoyed had nothing to do with the overt act-motivator sequence. Very funny.

It's the overt act-motivator sequence which puts the end to all games. Doing things to people and having to punish them and be punished by them and all of this sort of thing is a sort of a sordid, last-ditch activity which people hope somebody will mistake for a game. But that's about as far as they get with it. They really don't have a game at that level. So it actually is antiquated. There's many things you can do without going into that.

Now, if these statements of mine have upset anybody, I am truly sorry - for their case.

For instance, there was a little girl who wasn't making very much progress. She was a - she was a civilian from out in town, she wasn't a Scientologist. And she wasn't making

very much progress, and I noticed her face was getting sort of sunken and she was getting a little bit haggard under auditing and was really going along with it all right, but somehow or another wasn't quite getting much benefit from auditing and so forth.

So I sat down at her desk the other day and I said, *„You know, girls do a lot of things in life. They think they're all very reprehensible. Matter of fact, I wouldn't be a bit surprised but what you don't think that you've done something that if Doctor Hubbard heard about, he'd sack you.“* I said, *„Well, the funny part of it is,“* I said, *„I don't think you could do anything that bad. Next time you're audited, why don't you tell your auditor all about it?“*

So she did, and her case went zoom! It was very reprehensible. Something on the second dynamic at the age of eight. Pretty bad, you know? But she was sitting on a big withhold.

Now, a person's overts and withholds on any or all dynamics are the only reason their cases stay bogged! And it gets back to the definition of Operating Thetan which is *„willing and knowing cause over matter, energy, space, time, form and, of course, thought and postulates“* - Operating Thetan.

The horrible thing we have to face up to, if we're going to get anyplace, is; We done it. And I'll talk to you later about responsibility. We're actually not trying to hang people left and right with this thing called responsibility.

But we are saying just this and I am telling you just this: True recovery of one's beingness goes along with one's realization that he has been the cause of any difficulty he has ever had. And that's an awful tough bullet. That's so tough that you probably won't make it for hundreds of hours of auditing.

It's all very well for you to step up very happily you know, and say, *„Well, that's right. Ron says that. And there's nothing to it, and I'll get down here in the auditing chair, and I'll sit here. Ha! Now, what can I be responsible for? I can be responsible for all the difficulties I've ever had.“*

And the auditor says, *„Well, all right. Well, just tell me one you could be responsible for“* *„Well, I could be responsible for... No, I couldn't be responsible for that difficulty at school! That was her fault!“* And there derails that set of flatcars right off into the woods, see?

No, we got lots of them - lots of things we're not willing to take responsibility for, but it isn't responsibility we're trying to hang people with. We've got lots of them.

And right away, glibly, we say, *„Is that all it takes to be Clear? Who'll listen to me? Who'll listen to me? Listen, I - I'm willing to take responsibility for everything I caused all of my own difficulties. Boy, I was“* - boom! And you collapse on that point.

Now, the point I'm trying to make is simply this: That the state of Clear is the state of being admitted cause on all dynamics. And you have been, but you haven't admitted it. And that's the auditor's job; to get you to.

Thank you.

[End of tape.]