## TV DEMO: GETTING RUDIMENTS IN, PART I

## An auditing demonstration given on 30 May 1962

This evening what I'm going to try to do is just get in some rudiments, show you it can be done, get in some beginning ruds, get the Havingness command, run that for a few commands and then run end ruds. And I'm just going to do this on the Instructors and give you an idea of this particular type of session. I want you to notice the instant read characteristic of the meter and where the meter reads. Those who maintain that they have gotten the ruds in on the pc and then have – somehow or another – mysteriously they have gone out since the end of the session, simply didn't have the ruds in. That is – it's as easy as that.

LRH: All right, let us take the first candidate we have on this. Okay. Little closer. Pick up the cans, would you?

PC: Right.

LRH: Okay. Now. We – what I'm going to do here, is I'm just going to rapidly go down the rudiments, get them in, try a little Havingness and get them in. You've had a lot to do with this setup, and so forth, and you've never been audited.

PC: Never been in this chair.

LRH: That's right. All right, see where we sit here on the meter. All right, you're reading about 2.5 on the meter here which is fine. All right. Is it all right with you if I start this session now?

PC: Sure.

LRH: All right, here it is: Start of session. Has the session started for you?

PC: Yeah.

LRH: Sure?

PC: Sure.

LRH: All right. Very good. What goals would you like to set for this very short session?

PC: Oh, I'd like to get the ruds in.

LRH: All right. Any other goal?

PC: No, I think that'll do, Ron.

LRH: All right. Any goal you'd like to set for life or livingness?

PC: Yes, to be more able as a result of this session.

LRH: All right, to be more able... Good. Any other goal?

PC: No, I think it'll do for this session.

LRH: All right. Very good. Okay. Look around here and tell me if you can have anything.

PC: Yep.

LRH: There's a little kick on that. Now we're going to take up havingness in the body of this session, and we're not particularly keen on throwing this thing now. But, just look around here and tell me if there is anything out of order or you feel misplaced or something of that sort.

PC: Yeah, there was a missed withhold there that I didn't tell you. You said look around here and see if you can have anything, and of course I observed it wasn't the usual rudiment that one expects.

LRH: I see.

PC: So I didn't communicate that I did notice that.

LRH: Oh, all right. All right.

PC: No, there's nothing seems out of place.

LRH: Okay. Good enough. All right. Look around here and tell me if it's all right to audit in this room.

PC: Yeah.

LRH: That's null. Thank you very much. Good enough. Now, are you willing to talk to me about your difficulties?

PC: Sure.

LRH: Well, there's just a little tiny tick on that. Anything you'd like to say concerning that?

PC: No, I just flashed talking about the difficulties of the – getting the television set up into the new building.

LRH: All right.

PC: I flashed on that when you asked me the question.

LRH: All right. All right. Are you willing to talk to me about your difficulties?

PC: Sure.

LRH: All right, there's a little tick on that. So, who'd I have to be to audit you?

PC: You!

LRH: All right. Very good. Who would I have to be to audit you?

PC: Just yourself.

LRH: All right. Very good, who would I have to be to audit you?

PC: Well, I can't think of anybody better than yourself there, now.

LRH: All right, very good. Let me check this. All right. Are you willing to talk to me about your difficulties?

PC: Yeah.

LRH: That's null as can be. Thank you very much. That was the last command.

PC: All right.

LRH: All right. Now, I don't think I've audited you very dress parade and so forth, or maybe a little coffee shop.

PC: Hm-hm.

LRH: So I can't ask you "Since the last session." But, since your last session, when was that?

PC: Oh, about three weeks ago.

LRH: Three weeks ago. Since your last session have you done anything you are withholding I got a tick. That's it.

PC: Fused the lights. But I'm not withholding that.

LRH: All right, all right. You satisfied you've answered the question?

PC: No, not really, because I wasn't withholding that. I've already told you about that.

LRH: All right. All right. Let me repeat the command. Since your last session have you done anything you are withholding? That's the tick.

PC: Well, possibly something to do with a meter read, that I'm checking. Sometimes I'm not too sure of every meter read. I feel I set a high standard there.

LRH: All right. Very good. Let me check that on the meter here. Since your last session have you done anything you are withholding Now, that's clean. Thank you very much. Okay. Now, do you have a present time problem?

PC: No.

LRH: Well now, I got just a little tick there.

PC: Well, a problem getting that – uh – it's right here – the TV set up on – over in the new building

LRH: All right. Very good. Let me check that on the meter. Do you have a present time problem? All right, that's – that is an equivocal read. Let me check it once more. Do you have a present time problem?

PC: No.

LRH: I have the tiniest, faintest suggestion of a reaction. It's hardly a reaction.

PC: Well, what I think of now is I'm not very good at putting things on paper. And I did say I would draw a sketch of the room, and I've started to do that. And that does present a little problem to me.

LRH: All right, very good. Let me check it on the meter now. Do you have a present time problem? I get a latent read on that now, so it's all right. Okay?

PC: Okay.

LRH: Very good. All right. Now, I would like to run some Havingness on you. What would you say to that?

PC: Very good.

LRH: All right. Very good. What is your Havingness Process?

PC: Look around here and find something you could have.

LRH: All right. We'll at least check that out and see what we've got here. All right. The command I am going to give you is, "Look around here and find something you could have," okay? Now, is it "could have," or "can have"? Which – which has it been?

PC: "Could have."

LRH: "Could have." All right. Here it is: Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: That settee.

LRH: Very good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: Your hair.

LRH: Very good. Squeeze the cans. Thank you. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: That camera.

LRH: Good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: The curtains.

LRH: Good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: The paper clips.

LRH: Very good. The paper clip?

PC: The clips...

LRH: Oh, my goodness I could – didn't even see them! All right. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: The carpet.

LRH: Very good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: The switch gear over there.

LRH: Okay. Squeeze the cans. All right. Lay your hands up on top of the table. Just relax them, relax them now. Now close them. All right. Now just squeeze – just these fingers... Yes. All right. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: Those three marks – small cut marks on the wall.

LRH: Good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: The door.

LRH: Good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: The fireplace.

LRH: Very good. Squeeze the cans. Oh, that seems to work. That Havingness Process seems to work. All right. But just on the offbeat chance that there's some suppressions on that...

PC: Yes...

LRH: On Havingness Processes – on Havingness Processes, have you suppressed? I smell a mouse here, on this Havingness Process, okay?

PC: All right, yeah.

LRH: All right. I'm not getting tone arm action on it.

PC: Do you want my hands up here still?

LRH: You can drop them.

PC: Thank you.

LRH: I'm sorry. Now, on Havingness Processes, have you suppressed? Well, what have you suppressed on a Havingness Process? I got it there.

PC: I've suppressed – suppressed a desire very often in being run – in being run on a process, to beat the auditor to the command. In other words, I know what's coming and I'm halfway there, and I've suppressed doing that.

LRH: All right. Very good. All right. On a Havingness Process, have you suppressed? Yes, I've still got a reaction to it. Anything else you can think of? Should be "suppressed anything?"

PC: Sometimes when people are running a Havingness Process and they speak of permeating things and so on, I'm not always with them, and so I have suppressed any idea of getting up or saying I – that – that doesn't seem real to me.

LRH: All right, very good. All right. Let me try this again now. On Havingness Processes, have you suppressed anything? That's null. At least for – can I check it again?

PC: Yeah.

LRH: On Havingness Processes – on Havingness Processes have you suppressed anything. Well now, that read – that is latent. That is latent. That's an equivocal read. I'd better search it a little bit more. Can you think of anything else on suppressing things on a Havingness Process? There it is.

PC: Um...

LRH: There it is.

PC: ... yes. I know I started to Q-and-A sometimes with myself on – or argue with myself, as to whether I was really doing the process.

LRH: Hm-mm.

PC: And so stopped any – any idea – suppressed any idea of doing that. In other words, just do what I feel like doing when you – they give the command.

LRH: I see. And what have you suppressed there exactly? I didn't quite understand that.

PC: Suppressed any idea that I wasn't doing the process correctly.

LRH: I see, I see. All right, all right. I got it. All right. Let me check that now. On Havingness Processes, have you suppressed anything? I've still got a bit of a reaction there. There's a tick.

PC: Well, I haven't got a specific incident. I've got a feeling that in running – in sometimes checking a Havingness Process, when I've been auditing, that I haven't always had a full dial – an increase in the squeeze...

LRH: Hm-mm.

PC: ... in the swing when I - I've got them to squeeze the cans.

LRH: All right...

PC: And sort of suppressed that. Well, I oughtn't to pass this, but I did, you know, suppress the fast that you hadn't really got the full swing on the needle that you should have.

LRH: All right, I understand that. Okay. Let's try this again now. On Havingness Processes, have you suppressed anything. That is clean. Thank you very much.

Okay. On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything There is a latent read on that, and there's an immediate and a latent, both.

PC: What I've got then was the first Havingness Processes that I had run on me...

LRH: Oh yeah?

PC: ... by Pearson, which was mocking up gold balls and pulling them in to me. And they always seemed to work very effectively

LRH: All right.

PC: But uh – so I suppose I have invalidated those processes by reason of the fact we're running more in present time processes on havingness, you see.

LRH: All right. I get you. All right, let me check this now, on the meter. On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything I still got a reaction.

PC: Hm.

LRH: That's it. That's it.

PC: Yes. Now this is something along the lines of -um - a process may - another process might run down a person's havingness...

LRH: Hm-mm.

PC: ... and I've always – it's all been guided by the fast that any process that turns on a condition will run it off...

LRH: Right...

PC: ... and so - uh - I wouldn't interrupt it to run Havingness, despite the - being advised to the contrary.

LRH: All right, all right. Very good. Very good. All right, let me ask that question again; check it here on the meter. On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything Now, that is probably clean. But let me make doubly sure here. On Havingness Processes have you invalidated anything I've got a little reaction on that. It's a little tick-tick. That's it. Right there. That's it.

PC: Room upstairs, I'm getting.

LRH: That's it. That's it.

PC: Oh! This would be on you – you've published and said that it's when you've – can have the largest object in the room.

LRH: Right.

PC: Now, then there's a tendency sometimes you find yourself starting off a process – I've only just realized this – I started off with the settee tonight when I was running the Havingness Process, and so that hasn't – as far as my case – that particular point hasn't become real to me.

LRH: All right, very good. Very good. All right. Let me check that now on the meter. On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything All right, there's an equivocal read because it read on "invalidated." Is there something here about the word "invalidated"? Yes. What is this on the word "invalidated"? I mean that – that's what we're tripping over here. I'm not even asking you to answer this very seriously, but have you got something on the subject of "invalidated"?

PC: No, it's very real to me. Um...

LRH: Yeah, there it is.

PC: Well, what – the thought that comes to mind here is, I am – I always feel that I myself am easily invalidated.

LRH: Yeah...

PC: I mean, other people feel – they feel they invalidate me.

LRH: Did you ever conclude somebody else was?

PC: Huh?

LRH: You ever conclude somebody else was easily invalidated?

PC: Um...

LRH: There's the tick. There's the tick.

PC: Well, some.

LRH: All right. Okay. Okay. All right. There's a tick right there, there's a little double...

PC: Well, what I was thinking of there – that's – uh - I was – had this feeling of being, you know, easily invalidated. Only I'm not putting it in those words, it was – I had the feeling it must be something earlier than that.

LRH: Well, all right. Well, now, we have no business tracking down this word "invalidated" anyhow, it – just – except it's getting in the road of my read.

PC: Okay.

LRH: All right. So, let me check it here on the meter again and see if we get the same phenomena, okay? On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything. Now, we've got a little double read. We've got a double – little double tick here, on "invalidated" and on "anything" so we – we've got – we've got the lot here. Shed any light on that? There's the tick. There it is.

PC: Oh, yes! Well, this of course! Going on quote – on remembering something you told us – I probably got this out of context, I'm not putting it forth as data – but you suggested that havingness was just something to keep the guy happy.

LRH: Mm.

PC: Do you see? And uh – that it doesn't make a lot of difference, really. This is the impression I've got myself. And so I'm – I'm not all that bothered, I'm not all that concerned about running a pc's Havingness as such, because I feel that the major processes do him good.

LRH: Hm.

PC: And although we do it, and so on, then I always feel that... Well, I don't think we should really... It isn't necessary to do all this with a Havingness Process.

LRH: All right, very good. Very good. Let me check that on the meter now. All right. On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything All right, the read has now altered, but I've still got a read. But you're – you're making progress now.

PC: Yeah. This of course comes to the session in the Mayflower...

LRH: Oh yeah.

PC: ... that I was running. And there was a tick on the radiator in the other room...

LRH: Yes...

PC: And I felt afterwards that that process had been probably spoiled for the reason that I let the pc take control to some extent at that stage.

LRH: Hm-hm.

PC: So I probably invalidated that session to some degree...

LRH: All right.

PC: ... as a Havingness session.

LRH: All right. Thank you. Now let's see what we've got here on the meter. All right. On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything. All right, we've still got a tickety-bang, here. Is there some early Havingness Process that you said didn't work or wouldn't work or anything like that? This – I'll tell you – let me – let me be frank here. There's a bit of a double-tick missed withhold on this, see, so somebody must have missed one.

PC: Well, this again – it's going to lead back to this Havingness Process that I used to – that Pearson used to run on me. And for the life of me I used to get most cross when he would do the process and I'd go – dope off and he'd say, "Okay, mock up eight gold balls and pull them into you," you see. And I used to do this and – uh – sure enough it used to... And I used to get very cross that this would work!

LRH: Oh, yeah.

PC: And, in the end, it got to such a state I'd be doping off and he'd just say mock up... And I'd say, "Okay, I'll wake up," you see, and I used to wake up and I just... I see there I've invalidated the process and decided to wake up myself or – or put this interpretation onto it, at that time. Did I explain that to you?

LRH: All right. Okay, yes, I understand that. Very good. All right, let me check this now. On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything? All right, we've changed the read markedly now. And we've got a broader one. Did Pearson – missed a withhold on you?

PC: Oh blimey, he must have missed a hell of a lot.

LRH: No, I mean on that Havingness Process. Did he miss that withhold? This germane to that?

PC: I didn't tell him that.

LRH: You didn't tell him that?

PC: I – I told him as far as that – I didn't tell – explain to him that I had invalidated a process in any way.

LRH: Yeah, yeah...

PC: But I said – I did make a remark to him, "All right, I'll wake up."

LRH: All right.

PC: Said he needn't run the process.

LRH: All right, thank you. Let me check that on the meter again. On Havingness Processes, have you invalidated anything. Well, what do you know, our read is now latent.

PC: Good.

LRH: So, we're getting there. We're getting there. But I'll recheck this later. And right now, on Havingness Processes, have you failed to reveal anything. All right. I got no read on that. On Havingness Processes, have you been careful of anything We've got a read now.

PC: Well, that's always very – as I say, careful to – um – do the command when the auditor says.

LRH: Hm-hm.

PC: Careful to squeeze the cans right at the end so as to make sure we're getting a read. Um – careful, of course, to try and get the process out of the way so that we can get on with the main body of the session.

LRH: Hm. Hm. All right, all right, very good. Let me check that now. On Havingness Processes, have you been careful of anything? All right, we've got a couple of reads here now on this, all of which amount to nothing. Well, I'd better check this and just make sure now. Listen very carefully to the auditing command now. On Havingness Processes, have you been careful of anything? Now, I've got a read. Right at the end. Got a read there. Nice one. Shed a little more light on this.

PC: Well, I – I'm careful to try, when I'm running a Havingness Process, to get the squeeze before I start to run and after a few commands. Sometimes rue slipped up, but it's something I sort of take pains to do, because sometimes I forget to do it. So therefore I'm careful when I do, to try and get this done.

LRH: All right. Very good. Let me check that again. On Havingness Processes, have you been careful of anything? All right. I'm going to read that once more. On Havingness Processes, have you been careful of anything? Now, as far as we're concerned, we're dodging around on this. I'm going to test it one more time. On Havingness Processes, have you been careful of anything? I've got a read there. I've definitively still got a read. It's a different read than you had, so you're cleaning it up.

PC: Hm-hm.

LRH: Shed any lights on this? There it is. There it is.

PC: Careful to look behind me sometimes when I'm running a process and when I'm having a process run, so as I get uh – all the roo... all the room in... And one other thing comes to me: Careful, yes, to make sure that I include the auditor sometimes so that the guy won't feel insulted.

LRH: All right. Very good. Very good. Thank you. All right, let me check that again. On Havingness Processes, have you been careful of anything? And that is clean as a wolf's tooth. Thank you very much. I'm going to run all of the middle rudiments now.

PC: Hm-hm.

LRH: All right. On Havingness Processes, have you told anyone a half-truth? Now, let me check that again; it might have been a read. On Havingness Processes, have you-told anyone a half-truth? No, that's clean.

On Havingness Processes, have you told anyone an untruth? Let me check that again. On Havingness Processes, have you told anyone an untruth? There is a tick.

PC: Well sometimes, like this evening, sometimes I start the process off and you sort of say you can have something, and then you – you wonder whether you really are having it. And to that degree one is telling an untruth.

LRH: All right. All right. Thank you. Let me check that now. On Havingness Processes, have you told anyone a hal... an untruth? Got a tick, maybe that was my reading of it. On Havingness Processes, have you told anyone an untruth? This is tagging around here. I don't – it doesn't quite settle down on this. I'll check it once more. On Havingness Processes, have you told anyone an untruth? Definitely a little reaction there. Shed any light on that? There it is.

PC: Something I wasn't – um – this comes on TR 10. I've instructed people not to use that in a test for havingness and I'm not quite sure whether that's true or not.

LRH: All right. Very good.

PC: Is wrong data going on – maybe...

LRH: All right. Okay. Let me check it again. On Havingness Processes, have you told anyone an untruth? All right, I'll have to check it once more. On Havingness Processes, have you told anyone an untruth? That is clean. Okay. On Havingness Processes, have you said something only to impress someone? That's clean. On Havingness Processes, have you tried to damage someone? On Havingness Processes, have you tried to damage someone? I've got a reaction.

PC: Well, what I thought of is not a doingness, but – um – somebody once told me that anybod – uh – uh – uh – expect that – anybody that's put in the family way, if you ran Havingness on them, it might help them get rid of the baby.

LRH: Oh, I see.

PC: And I always thought this was rather – I didn't like this idea, but I never done that, I swear!

LRH: All right, all right. Okay. All right. On Havingness Processes, have you ever tried to damage someone? That is clean. I will check it once more. On Havingness Processes, have you ever tried to damage someone? I get no read on that. Okay. Now, on Havingness Processes, have you tried to influence the E-Meter? All right, I get this equivocal reaction. I will ask it again just to check it on the meter. On Havingness Processes, have you tried to influence the E-Meter? I got a reaction.

PC: Well, this is on the... Sometimes I feel you squeeze the cans a bit harder to – to get the – on a Havingness Process, squeeze the cans a bit harder to get a read so that we – we can get onto something else.

LRH: All right. Very good. Very good. Thank you. Let me check it on the meter now. On Havingness Processes, have you tried to influence the E-Meter? Got a reaction. Instant, but I – right on the button.

PC: Well th – this – what I think of there is – I mean, is a "tried to," that, well, it's a – you're finding a Havingness Process, you always try to move the needle over so that you've found it.

LRH: All right. Very good. Very good. All right. Let me check this now. On Havingness Processes, have you tried to influence an E-Meter? All right, there's a reaction on that. Tiny bit tardy, but there might be something else there.

PC: Well, here again it – it's a question of uh – like now, my hands are warm and moist and uh – I feel inclined that before you test for havingness that I – it would only be fair for me, if you allow me to dry my hands. Do you see?

LRH: I see.

PC: So to that degree there's an attempt to influence the E-Meter.

LRH: All right, very good. Let me ask the question again. On Havingness Processes, have you tried to influence the E-Meter? Now we got a dead one there that's clear as a mackerel. Okay? Very clear. All right.

PC: Thank you.

LRH: Now. On Havingness Processes, have you failed to answer a command? And we got a reaction.

PC: Well – I just got a whole – must have been a number of commands I didn't answer on Havingness at times. You know, you... Especially if you've just come out of something and the auditor is struggling to get you around again and he bats on.

LRH: All right.

PC: I know I just get an occasional... In my last auditing here, where the auditor said um – did you answer commands, and I said no, I – there's some commands you missed. So he said, well give me the answers now. So I gave him the three answers and that seemed to clear it up.

LRH: All right. All right. Let me check this now. On Havingness Processes, have you failed to answer an auditing command? That's clean. All right? Just because some student would think that wasn't clean, I'm going to ask again. On Havingness Processes, have you failed to answer an auditing command? All right, there is the tiniest suggestion of slowdown. Let's be pedantic about it. On that first session, you just gave me some a few minutes ago.

PC: The first session with Pearson?

LRH: Yeah.

PC: Yes, I suppose I didn't answer that command when I said, "Okay, I'll – um – all right, I'll wake up." He possibly... Yes, yes that would be the – the time.

LRH: All right.

PC: I just didn't do the command.

LRH: All right. All right. Okay. Let me check that again. On Havingness Processes, have you failed to answer an auditing command? All right, we still got a reaction. There's something there. There's something there.

PC: Well, this is running um – Op Pro by Dup. When Pearson was running it on me.

LRH: Hm-hm.

PC: That's the command, I mean, I may have got this twisted up with a Havingness command in those days, and it's a question then of his asking me the temperature of the book and how the hell could I tell the temperature of the book because I hadn't got a thermometer with me anyway. But it was something roundabout, and I gave him an answer. But I never felt that I really answered that question.

LRH: All right, very good. Now, let me ask this in relationship to a Havingness command.

PC: Yes.

LRH: Now, on running a Havingness Process, have you failed to answer a command? Well that's – there. There's something there.

PC: Well, I've got one on somebody else.

LRH: Yeah, what's that?

PC: When I uh - let uh - a pc get away with - uh - without answering a command, even though they queried it.

LRH: All right, all right.

PC: Got that time, they uh - I – they said did I answer those commands, and I said yes. And on the inspection I thought, no, they didn't. And so the poor guy's going around with an unanswered Havingness command.

LRH: All right. Is there one on yourself? That probably freed it, but let's get a specific answer on that.

PC: Well, there's one with my last auditing again, when I missed out on one. This would – this would be um – oh, the same session I was talking about, where the – I'd said at the end of the time I hadn't answered all the commands.

LRH: All right, okay. Good enough. Now, let me check this. On a Havingness Process, have you failed to answer an auditing command? That's clean. As wandery as the needle looks, it actually is clean. Okay? Now, we are going to resume this havingness activity. And we will get back right into it now, if that's all right with you. And how about you squeezing the cans? All right. Thank you very much. Good enough. Put them back in your lap.

All right. Here's the next command. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: Those flowers.

LRH: Very good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: That arm of the settee.

LRH: Good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: Key to the lock.

LRH: Good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: Fire.

LRH: Good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: That ashtray.

LRH: Good. Look around here and find something you could have.

PC: Telephone.

LRH: Good enough. Squeeze the cans. All right, that Havingness command is for the birds.

PC: Okay.

LRH: Okay? And not to go into one of endless this and that, we're going to start more or

less at the bottom. And if it works – dandy.

PC: Hm-hm.

LRH: All right, put down the cans, would you? All right, we're going to run, "Feel that."

PC: Good.

LRH: All right. Feel that tablecloth.

PC: Hm-hm.

LRH: Thank you. Feel that board.

PC: Hm.

LRH: Okay, thank you. Feel that ashtray. Okay, thank you. Feel that curtain. Okay, thank you. Pick up the cans now, would you? All right. Squeeze them. Like a breeze. Okay, put them down. All right, feel that chair. Thank you. Feel that jacket. Okay, thank you. Feel the top of your head. Okay, thank you. Feel your right ear. Okay, thank you. Feel your left ear. Okay, thank you. Feel your tie. Okay, thank you. Feel your chair. Okay, thank you. Feel the tablecloth. Okay, thank you. Pick up the cans. All right. Squeeze the cans. Dandy. All right. Now, I'm going to give you two more commands and then we'll end this process, if that's all right with you.

PC: Yes.

LRH: All right. Feel that can.

PC: Hm-hm.

LRH: Okay. Feel that can.

PC: Right.

LRH: All right. Thank you very much. That's the end of... Anything you care to say before

I end it?

PC: No, thank you.

LRH: All right, end of process. Okay. Now we're going on into the end rudiments. All right? Here we go. In this session have you told me a half-truth? Untruth? In this session have you told me an untruth? I'd better check that again. In this session have you told me an untruth? I really don't have a read here. But I'm getting a little double tick. That's all right, we'll catch it. Let me check this once more. In this session have you told me a half-truth, untruth? Tried only to impress me? Did you just think of something there?

PC: I just thought that I had been trying to be a good preclear. At times, yes, trying to impress you that way.

LRH: Oh, all right. All right, thank you. You tried only to impress me? All right, have you tried to impress anybody else?

PC: Well, there's quite a little crowd downstairs watching and I just thought of them, but that's all.

LRH: All right. Have you tried to impress them?

PC: Yes, I should think so.

LRH: All right. Okay. Let me check this again. In this session have you tried to impress anyone? All right, there's a tick on that.

PC: Yes, I think I tried to impress you on the subject of this early subjective Havingness Processes that I – I'm very fond of those and I feel I've tried to get that line over to you.

LRH: Okay. All right. Let me check this again. In this session have you tried to impress anyone? Cleaner than a wolf's tooth. Okay? In this session, have you tried to damage anyone? Tick. That's it.

PC: Tried not to damage anyone.

LRH: All right. Tried to damage anyone? Tried positively to damage anyone? Got a tick there. We're wandering around here with a tick.

PC: Well there could be a tried to damage – there's a possibility of damage of Pearson.

LRH: Oh really?

PC: I was saying that he didn't – that he let me get away with that unanswered command.

LRH: All right. Okay. All right. Let me check that now. In this session have you tried to damage anyone? All right. Let me check this now, and listen – listen to this auditing command. In this session have you tried to damage anyone? Clean. Okay. Thank you. All right. In this session, have you deliberately tried to influence the E-Meter? Thank you very much, that's clean. In this session, have you failed to answer any

question or command? I got a clank here. What's this? In this session have you failed to answer any question or command?

PC: Well, I did. I altered a command, so, inasmuch as you said, "Look around here and find..." I first thought of that settee and then instead of giving you that answer – that was the answer I failed to give you – I gave you the arm of the settee instead.

LRH: Oh, all right. All right. Let me check that now. In this session have you failed to answer any question or command? This is practically clean, but there's a tiniest slowdown now. Can you think of another one or that one or any one of them?

PC: That one, yes. And that was followed by the – the key, where I – no I'm sorry it was followed by the telephone when I was about to... Sort of made up my mind to have that and then so – when I'm – I was ahead of you and beating the gun, so I changed to the telephone for your answer, so you didn't get the co – uh – that command – that answered.

LRH: All right, all right. Are you satisfied you just answered the auditing question?

PC: Yes.

LRH: All right, very good. In this session have you failed to answer any question or command? Now, this is – this is nervous. This is a nervous little tiny needle here. I'm sorry to be dragging you around the bend on this.

PC: Well, what I'm getting on this is just when you asked me then, was um – this question of having, again, whether I had really had these – decide I could have them when I named them off to you.

LRH: Oh, all right.

PC: And sort of chanting names to you, rather than definitely saying – deciding I could have them.

LRH: Well, all right. Excellent. All right, let me check this now. In this session have you failed to answer any question or command? Got a reaction here. All right, just let me steer this; just think over the session here for a moment, and let me see what I've got here. Questions or commands you've answered in this session. There it is. There it is. There it is again.

PC: Something to do with these cans. You asked – you gave – you said you would give me two more commands of the process.

LRH: Hm-hm.

PC: And uh - you - and I went to put the cans down.

LRH: Oh, I see.

PC: So there was an unspoken command to keep them in my hand, which is the nearest I can get one – it sounds awfully get-out, but that's the nearest I can get to.

LRH: All right, did you feel those two there, when I asked you to?

PC: I felt the two, but no – before there, you see, I thought you were going to say put them down on the table.

LRH: Oh, I see.

PC: I anticipated that command. So there was the unspoken command to keep them in my hands, but I went to put them down, do you see? So to that degree I didn't fully carry out the unspoken command of putting them down.

LRH: All right, all right. Let's – let's put it this way. Let's put it this way – there's a tick. All right. In this session, have you failed to answer any question or command? Now I've got a reaction on command. There it is. There it is.

PC: Hm. I'm just not getting anything.

LRH: There it is. There it is.

PC: Well, this is the uh – beginning of the session then...

LRH: Hm-hm.

PC: ... when you said, "Has the session started for you?"

LRH: Hm-hm.

PC: And I said "Yes." And you said, "You sure of that?" I'm not sure that I answered that second command of "sure of this." That's the nearest I can get to anything there. In other words, you asked me a question, or – which is a command, because you asked me something and I can't recall definitely giving you an answer to the second question.

LRH: All right, very good. Very good. All right. Let me ask you this question again. In this session have you failed to answer any question or command? That is clean, thank you very much.

Now, in this session, have I missed a withhold on you? All right, let me ask it again. In this session, have I missed a withhold on you? I got a tick.

PC: Well, the only thing was that I didn't tell you names of auditors – all the auditors and pcs that I was referring to.

LRH: All right, very good. Let me ask that again. In this session, have I missed a withhold on you? Tick.

PC: This has to do with Havingness Processes – um – running of them. Perhaps you didn't get the... I said – perhaps I said occasionally that I've done this, and you didn't get the time, place, form and event of times when I hadn't...

LRH: All right, all right.

PC: ... done this Havingness Process right.

LRH: Good enough. All right, let me check this again. In this session have I missed a withhold on you? Once more I'm going to check that very carefully now. In this session, have I missed a withhold on you? There is a hang-up, right on the end of that. Could you answer that? That's it. There it is.

PC: Um – yes, this is um – was – it was again the full circumstances of getting – letting – letting a pc – letting a pc not answer a Havingness command.

LRH: All right. All right. Now, let me understand this now. The full circumstances of not letting a pc answer. Did that happen in this session, that? Now, what did you refer to? I just didn't get all...

PC: No, I – during this I – I had told you about when I was running a Havingness Process and so on, so that had you pressed me you could have had some more details about that, and I didn't tell you all about it.

LRH: All right. Very good. Now, let me ask you again. In this session, have I missed a withhold on you? That's clean. Thank you. All right. Now. Are you willing to talk to me about your difficulties?

PC: Yes.

LRH: Well, I got a little tick on that. What do you suppose that's all about?

PC: Well I thought, "For God's sake don't let this one be out, clot."

LRH: Huh?

PC: "For God's sake don't let this one be out, clot."

LRH: All right. Are you willing to talk to me about your difficulties? Seems to be clean now. All right, what were you doing – making sure that was really clean?

PC: Yes!

LRH: All right. Now, look around here and tell me if you could have anything.

PC: Yes.

LRH: All right. I got a slight tick on that so I'm going to run a few more commands of Havingness. Okay? Would you put down your cans? All right, feel that cloth. Okay. Feel that ashtray. Okay. Feel that board. Okay. Feel those paper clips. All right. Feel

your right sleeve. Good. Feel your left sleeve. Good. All right, pick up the cans. Okay. All right. Look around here and tell me if you can have anything.

PC: Yes.

LRH: All right, good. You get a bang on that.

PC: Hm?

LRH: You get a slight bang on that, just --- just even so. Look around here and tell me if you could have anything.

PC: Yes.

LRH: All right, now, I get a bang on that. I get a bang on that. Is there anything – any way you'd care to answer that?

PC: Well, I can have the door and the settee and...

LRH: All right. All right. Let me check that now on the meter. Look around here and tell me if you can have anything. All right, thank you very much. That's clean. All right. Now, have you made part of – any part of your goals for this session?

PC: Well, we certainly got the ruds cleaned up.

LRH: All right. Okay. And have you made any other gains in this session you would care to mention?

PC: Yes, I feel a lot freer and brighter now. And this isn't propitiation, there's uh – I felt a bit heavy and nervous when I came into session, I mean you sprung a surprise on me, and I did. I feel very, very free, and um – well, I'm very happy to know that I can go through a session like this in front of the audience – now I've remembered them again.

LRH: All right.

PC: On that I've had quite a gain.

LRH: All right. Well thank you very much. Is there anything you'd care to ask or say before I end this session?

PC: Yes, I'm interested to know what the tone arm action was on running these rudiments, and so on.

LRH: Well your tone arm action here – your tone arm action with sensitivity at two – uh, pardon me, at sixteen...

PC: Hm.

LRH: ...is sitting here on 2.0. You came into session, at about 2.5, and then you went down to about 1.75. So the total tone arm action has been .75, and oddly enough, the greatest action you have gotten has been on Havingness.

PC: Hm-hm. Yes.

LRH: And I think that that – that answers your question. I can add something else on the thing

PC: Please.

LRH: I think your Havingness Process has not been functional. That – this is a clean sweep as everybody that I have checked Havingness Processes on, their Havingness Process doesn't work.

PC: Hm, yeah.

LRH: So that's from my viewpoint. But you got .75 action there and it's been very good.

PC: Okay.

LRH: Okay?

PC: Thank you.

LRH: All right. Is it all right with you if I end this session now?

PC: Yes.

LRH: All right. Here it is. End of session.

PC: Thank you.

LRH: All right. Session ended for you?

PC: Yes.

LRH: All right. Tell me I'm no longer auditing you.

PC: You're no longer auditing me.

LRH: Okay. Take a break.