ROCK SLAMS AND DIRTY NEEDLES

A lecture given on
14 August 1962

Thank you.

Well, here we are, Saint Hill Special Briefing Course.

Audience: Fourteen. Fourteenth.

Fourteen? It isn't.

Audience: Yes, it is.

How did you get into the 14th when I'm in the 16th?

Audience: Bit of a comm lag.

Fourteen August, AD 12. Lecture one.

This is on 3GA – goals: writing and finding goals.

First and foremost, there is the detailed theory which could go back of this. Once upon a time there was a thetan. And he couldn't go forward, and he couldn't go backwards, and he had to stay there and he mustn't stay there.

And at that point he got overwhelmed and received further orders to evacuate and then further orders to advance, and then orders to stay there.

And having done all this, he then caught the barrage. The barrage came in from in front, you see, and he was putting out a barrage himself in front and this would have been all right except his own artillery started to lay shells into his position at which moment he again decided he had better evacuate.

But he couldn't carry the orders out, and there he is to this day – rock slamming. The mechanical importance of a rock slam is based something on that. It's a can't go, can't come, can't stay, can't be, mustn't be, you see. It's all that.

And it, in short, is a highly charged Agitation. Originally, on the 20th ACC, when I was doing assessment on people's goals, this manifestation during this assessment was so strong they called it the rock slam. And actually at that time you used to go down this chain to find the rock. That is how you get the word *rock slam.*

Now, in finding goals here during 61 and 62 so far, we have had a new manifestation that was not particularly known or understood, and that was a dirty needle. And a dirty needle is only a little, tiny, persistent rock slam. That is all a dirty needle is.

So I've been spending the last week or so on very hard study of rock slams – very, very hard study, and gratuitously there dropped into my lap a criminal of the first order with a record and so forth, who I knew – mind you, I integrated this data after the interrogation and – but I knew it within this span and area – that the fellow was guilty of a tremendous overt. He had been stealing everybody blind left and right, and the overts were particularly against me.

And I was able to see a rock slam turn on and off on this one fact and it was very interesting. And of course, he was trapped, too and it was not a goals manifestation. It was simply this fellow was guilty of everything under the sun, and I had big rock slams and little rock slams and all kinds of rock slams – the dominant one about seven-eighths of a dial wide.

And I found out something very interesting about rock slams. That you can appear to clear a missed withhold off of somebody with a great big rock slam and have it dirty as can be. The needle just isn't registering right when you've got somebody with that large an overt.

You can miss withholds, you can do all sorts of things because the concentration is on the rock slam and the concentration is not on the auditor; and it takes superlative TR 1 to get by this and make a needle register at all.

You understand this rock slam has been rock slamming like mad and now it isn't rock slamming and you ask him if you missed a withhold, and you get a clean read. Have you missed a withhold, man! Oh-ho! You've just missed all the crimes in the book, see. "Have I missed a withhold?" and you get a perfectly clean read. That's quite interesting, isn't it?

Well, I was able through various fortuitous circumstances after this interrogation to actually run down the fact that this person was guilty without any slightest doubts. I found other corroborative evidence, you see.

And I began to understand this needle better. I began to understand this a lot better. As a matter of fact, I got all the dope necessary to prove it utterly and completely.

But as far as your interest is concerned, well, this opened up a new horizon because I didn't have just big rock slams, I had little rock slams. And I had dirty needles and I had all kinds of things here. It was a most fantastic pyrotechnic array of this and that you ever heard of.

Well, this was quite interesting. And it's terribly interesting to you. Because for the first time it dawned upon me that a rock slam is an overt. Therefore, a dirty needle is an overt.

Now, you chase these things around in Prepchecking, and you go round and round and round and round and round with these things. And you usually can find a series of overts that clear them off the needle. You can usually find this. The auditor or Scientology or something like this. You can fool around with it and actually get some current life overts that will clean the needle, and then you go back soaring on down the goals list, and the next thing you know, you flub; you make a little mistake.

You passed by a goal without cleaning all the somatic off of it which is a very hot goal. You do your Tiger Drill poorly, and you've got *bzzz-bzzz-bzzz-bzzz-bzzz*. And you go down goal after goal, and this rock slam keeps turning on – well, you missed the one that turned it on, you see. You didn't catch that so you – the next goal to it starts persistently rock slamming, and – and you get down four or five goals for it, and the doggone thing sounds like a buzzer alarm system going off, see. It's really fanning the air. You've missed one.

Well, the point is this: That's a little rock slam, see. It's a missed withhold.

But the mechanics of a "Failed to reveal" are simply the still point which follows the Confusion. You've still got the stable datum and the Confusion. The Confusion comes first and it holds the stable datum in place.

You find somebody with a stuck picture, don't audit the stuck picture.

Find the confusion that preceded the stuck picture, and the stuck picture will blow. It's quite interesting.

You got somebody there looking – this is actually old data I'm giving you. This is from back last – over a year ago on this course. It's been around for quite a while. But the stuck picture is there gorgeously and this fellow was looking at a telescope and it just continues to sit there. And you can hammer it and you can pound it and you can do this and that. It's quite wonderful that you can address it directly and ask him to take responsibility for that picture and something will probably happen with the picture. Now, that's quite remarkable.

But of course, what is an overt but an irresponsibility. An overt is an irresponsibility. Therefore, by taking some responsibility for the stuck picture, you can make this picture move. That's the only button that will make the picture move – one of these really stuck pictures, see. It's just, "What part of that picture could you be responsible for?"

All right. But if you really want to blow that thing off the track – by the way, don't monkey with these things. This is all experimental data I'm giving you. If you stop in an auditing session as some auditors were doing last year before we grabbed them by the nape of the neck and hauled them out of the auditing chair and squirted seltzer water through their ears a few times, they would just see a stuck picture, you know, and they'd stick in the session – just a total Q and A, see.

And they'd right away start handling this stuck picture, you know. Oh, this is marvelous. Session would go to pieces in a balloon. They – you know, they Q-and-A with a stuck picture, so they've stuck the session there.

Well, if you want to get rid of that thing – this is still just background music, you see, because you don't – it'll all come off in due course and you got no business monkeying with it.

But if you were to look just a bit earlier than that, maybe minutes earlier than the picture occurred – it's right close to it – it isn't 15 years before the picture; it's more like 15 minutes, you know when you get that sort of a thing.

And you'll find a confusion there, and then if you just picked the overts the guy committed out of the confusion, why, the stuck picture will go.

Sometimes it's enough just to locate the confusion, you see, and it goes.

In other words, a confusion is followed by a still. Stills do not exist without prior confusions except in the case of a goals postulate. There must have been a prior confusion for a still. Yet you wonder why cultures in various nations have settled down and are so fixed and so rigid. Well, it's very simple. Those cultures are that way because they have prior confusions and they're stuck.

Now, if you want to really lay in a culture, see, if you're up track someplace and you're giddy enough to create a new civilization someplace or another, and you want it to stick a la Roman, you know – the Romans really made their postulates stick, man – why, you just make the whole confounded, cotton – picking area *so confoundedly* confused that nobody can find which way is up. And then make the people commit an awful lot of overts and then suddenly settle in a still series of mores and customs. They'll stick. They'll stick.

That's how a legendary figure such as Quetzalcoatl can suddenly walk in on a barbaric and murderous scene and put out all of the rules of warfare, see, and rules of agriculture and so forth, and have those things go floating right on up the track – just totally stuck, see. Get the idea?

The situation must have been terribly confused with a lot of overts in it before you got this stuck situation. You can settle your mind to that. Now, that is one of these very large, very important Scientological truths. If you don't know that one in auditing sometime, you won't know what the dickens you're looking at. And it's a piece of understanding you should have.

Now, you know very well that this fellow committed a whole lot of overts of some kind or another and sooner or later he's going to hang up in a still. And well, he went around, and all he did was kick people. He used his left foot and he kicked people. And he kicked people and he kicked people and he kicked people and he kicked people, and he now has a paralyzed left foot. See?

Well, there was a lot of motion, see, and a lot of confusion and then it suddenly settled down and he decided he was guilty or something like that, and there it is. That's the chronic somatic you're trying to handle, see. This fellow walks in and says, "I understand you're a Scientologist, and like all other doctors, why, you're supposed to cure things."

And you say, "All right."

You're knuckleheaded that day. You got up on the wrong side of the bed and you're just plain stupid, you see? And in your effort to please, why you say, "All right. I'll fix up your lumbosis," you see. Well man, he's been stabbing people in the lumbosis for an awful long time. And it has happened often and frequently, and so forth. And you still could move around his lumbosis somewhat.

But if you tried to do this in the absence of pulling overts, you wouldn't get anyplace. You could cut it out, which is what the doctors do. It's still got the engram, but now it's in an alcohol jar.

And the guy now has somatics where it was. Marvelous. But this is back of all illness, all chronic states, these principles. They are what makes chronic states, chronic. Now, an aberration of a human being or a miller moth or a man from Mars or total foreign alien personality, such as governmental figures – these fellows have aberrations.

And prior to those aberrations, there were confusions and overts – and the business of settling the confusion with an overt, which is the modus operandi of our existing cultures here on Earth. You always settle the confusion with the overt, you know. Guy disturbs the peace; they throw him in jail.

Eventually the society will get more and more stuck in an aberration which they begin to mistake for culture. Oddly enough, culture – well, let's not say culture; let's say politics or a political form – is an aberration caused by the collective overts of the citizenry. And you actually could forecast very accurately the next political government or political form of any nation by an examination of the overts of the individual because the next government they elect, they will try to make those overts legal.

So you just figure out what the most common denominator of overts – interesting game. You could sit around and fool around with this and you come up with some of the darnedest answers – you just examine what is the most common overt and then realize that the eventual culture will be one based on the legalization of that overt.

For instance, the – they try to lessen the overt one way or the other by freezing something into line. They lessen an overt by freezing into place a motivator and there's all kinds of mechanisms involved in this, but the general law is: is you just figure out what the collective overt is and the next political form will be one which legalizes that overt.

I'll give you an example. A criminal has to come to the conclusion that property belongs to nobody. There is no such thing as private property. He's got to come to that conclusion, see. Otherwise, he would be guilty of so many overts, he couldn't live with himself What was the crime rate of Russia before the 1917 Revolution? Yeah man, it was big. It was heavy. And the Russki legalized theft by saying there is no property. See?

See, that's the ideal criminal, political form. I'm not anticommunism as, if I was talking to a couple of communists now, I could fry their hair. I don't say I haven't in the past. I've converted communists to Royalists, and so forth, because in the form of politics, you see, it is only opinion and aberration. There is no wisdom.

If you could figure out that the overt in any state which has become a socialized state must have been weakness. It must be of an omitted participation – a weakness, see. Because now all of a sudden they've legalized weakness. They pay it. Weakness is now paid. Do you get the examples?

Audience: Mm-hm.

Well, this is aberration on the third dynamic. Well, this has an awful lot to do with clearing. Every once in a while, I'll run into a phenomenon and stumble over it and fall over it and bump my head over it and bung my toes up on it and ruin my shins on it, and try to get around it one way or the other, and so forth, and as time goes on – if I were brighter, you see, we'd be much further ahead – but it takes a certain amount, you see, of me falling on my face over this phenomenon to finally recognize that we don't have to avoid it – we can use it. That is usually what happens, you see. We can use that in our business. But you have to know quite a bit about one of these things to be able to use one. And frankly, we really never used the rock slam to amount to anything except a little bit on the 20th. But then I couldn't give you a packaged word that described the rock slam, so therefore, it was to that degree useless.

Any auditor who's been auditing for a little while with modern technique knows when he's running middle rudiments now, you see that little needle *buzz-bzzz-bzzz,* and you don't bother to say, "Have you invalidated it?" or anything. You do – you skip your Tiger Drill right straight to "Failed to reveal." You got a "Failed to reveal" sitting right in front of your face, so you skip your Tiger Drill to "Failed to reveal." And YOU pick it up – pow-pow-pow-pow-pow, and then that's clean, and then you go back to your Tiger Drill again, see.

And it's senseless trying to pick up an invalidation to read through a *bzzz-bzzz-bzzz* when this is obviously a "Failed to reveal," don't you see.

In other words, this little, tiny, dirty needle is a manifestation of "Failed to reveal." Now, a "Failed to reveal" must have been preceded by an overt, and I don't ask you to vary your Tiger Drill or your middle rudiments, and delete "Failed to reveal" and substitute "overt" in its place, because there's very little to gain. There is very little gain there.

You start picking up overts – pooey! You're using too strong a weapon, see. He only had to pick up the "Failed to reveal," you see, and you'll get the missed withhold phenomenon remedied, and other things will remedy, you see, at the same time. But you should realize that while you're picking up a "Failed to reveal," you're just skimming the top of the pot, see. If that thing is going to go *bzzz-bzzz-bzzz,* there's an overt under it.

And ordinarily, you don't pick up the overt. You don't bother with it. And your Tiger Drill is quite successful up to the moment you run into a chronic and continuous rock slam or dirty needle. And at that point, that gets very desperate, and O/W has been shoved into the lineup to remedy this.

And O/W will work as long as you get your question answered but will not work if you [don't] get your questions answered or if the pc is doing something else with the auditing question.

Don't Q-and-A with the pc and stick him up on the track by demanding that he answer the question more precisely, give him – you the answer you want – he's answering it technically right. No. Use clearing the auditing command, question after question after question after question, but keep giving him the question and getting the answers. Don't you see? Keep him in communication. Clear the auditing command every time. Inspect the meter.

See if he did something else. You know – harass him, and he finally . . . he – accept his answers; but harass him.

"Oh, well," you say, "have you done anything to your mother?"

And he said, "Well, I flew a – I – I – I flew a kite in World War II."

"Well," you say, "well, I don't see quite how that answers the auditing command."

"Well, it didn't. She asked me not to."

Isn't your face red? See?

So you can only do a certain amount of clearing up of the question. But now you're getting silly answers like this, why, on subsequent things you clear the auditing command better. That's how you handle that situation. Do you understand that?

His answer technically fulfills this, but it isn't what you want. And you'll find out if he's doing something else, keep clearing the auditing command with him – not while he is doing the command but after he has answered it, and you okayed it and everything.

Now, clear the auditing command with him again. Ask if he's doing anything else, if he did anything else besides just that or if he's trying to solve something with that answer. You know, get in there and pitch a little bit, and YOU all of a sudden find out that he's doing fantastic things with this auditing question. That's the reason O/W doesn't work for you when it doesn't work.

You either hang up and ARC break the pc to pieces by never accepting the first answer, see, or you collapse afterwards and just accept anything because you couldn't get it straight. Well, the happy medium between these two things, you see, is to run O/W and he's giving you technically acceptable answers. Well, keep clearing the auditing command. Use that as your routine between answers.

Keep clearing the auditing command; clearing the auditing command. I did it once for an hour one night and achieved some fantastic result. Pc says all of a sudden, "What?" They weren't answering the auditing command. They were trying to solve a problem with the auditing command and they were only giving answers that fitted into the problem, and then looked each time to see whether or not the problem had been solved, which it had not, of course – because the pc had never answered the auditing question.

Got the pc to answer the auditing question, and everything was fine. But the – you use clearing the auditing command between questions. You got that as a mechanism?

All right. That's why you have O/W and it isn't necessary to go into lots of overts and that sort of thing just when you're running "Failed to reveal," you know. That's perfectly valid, just fine because you're after all running with a whisk broom.

There's no reason to get a ten-ton bulldozer in there and start plowing up the whole ten-acre field, see. You will the moment you start for overts.

If mid-ruds consisted of. "Have you committed any overts?" ha-ha, "On this goal, have you committed any overts?" Where are we going to wind up?

Well, we're on that question now, two and a half hours and that isn't the purpose of the Tiger Drill. But asking if there's anything he failed to reveal, why, we'll get it reading. So it serves the purpose, don't you see.

But you shouldn't forget that underneath that *bzzz-bzzz-bzzz,* there is an overt.

All right. So, criminality has served us well and because there, it was quite obvious, that integrating this against all the other data I had that I was not looking at a rock slam on the goals chain. I was just looking at a God-awful overt which the individual was sitting across from me saying he had never committed! And the evidence existed not only had he committed it, but he had committed a dozen others like it, see. This bird had his heels planted in the middle of the road. And the harder he planted his heels, the harder that thing slammed.

So you should have seen me a couple of nights ago because, you see, this was a needle manifestation, not that – don't draw the inference that everybody who has rock slams is criminal. This was just this interesting phenomenon that here was the source of a rock slam and it was characterized by overt. And this suddenly fell into the line and said, "Isn't this nice and sweet, and I wonder whether or not I can turn one on at will."

And Sunday night in an auditing session I did the unforgivable. You know, the unforgivable. Your pc is listing away beautifully, and all of a sudden you see a free needle turn on, you know.

You say, "Hey, hey, hey! A free needle! I got it. Do you want to see it? Free needle! Ha-ha!" You know? Busts the whole session up, you know.

Well I did, Sunday night. This was too exciting – on a slam – because I found out I could turn on a slam at will on a goals chain. And right away, some iron doors opened.

Actually, it didn't disturb the pc at all. The pc was excited as I was because they knew they were going right for their goal.

And besides, the pc was in-session. You can do a lot of things if somebody's in a session, and you ought to try it some time. Isn't that mean? Isn't that mean? Woke you up anyway.

Anyway, we've had 3GA, just as given last week – understand this now – is totally workable and will move right on out and do the job. You understand that? You list enough goals; you'll get the charge off and so forth. Now, our problem is just doing it faster and doing clearing faster. And this is not necessarily even an improvement on 3GA. This is just some way to find some goals.

Understand what I am telling you and this framework. This is just ways to find goals, see. I'd probably give you dozens and dozens of these in the next two or three, four or five years, you know.

You'll be sitting there and you've gotten it down to where finding a goal is a sure cure at eight hours, you see. Suddenly look at yourself, you'll be sitting there and you'll suddenly get a bulletin, you know, and it'll say – you put the E-Meter on the left side of you, you see, and just to the right side and you can cut it down to four hours, and you have to interrupt this

lengthy goals-finding activity that you're engaged in, you see, to do it shorter. You can count on something like that happening. And this is one of those things.

Because the reason I tell you this is because we have already cleared a first goal Clear who had a wild rock slam. You follow me now? As listing was being completed, a wild rock slam was on the needle every now and then.

In other words, goals had been picked off the top of the GPM, and here we had a situation, you see, where somebody went Clear and free needle and so forth. On the second goal, we undoubtedly would have gotten a second goal and there possibly would have been some rock slam residual there, you see, and we got a third goal, and we still had some rock slam, don't you see. And gradually it would have petered out and so forth.

Now, what I'm intrigued about is while all of you are sitting around smugly whose name didn't appear on the list there, while you're feeling comfortable about this, let me tell you this horrible fact: is you can turn it on on any pc knowing these details.

Because right up to this moment, you thought I was talking about a specialized case. I'm not talking about a specialized case – I'm talking about you; and me. Because we're monkeying around with this last night and we turned one on at will on me – bang! You know what it is, you can turn it on. Don't you see? It's an overt. It's an overt channel.

This guy has got himself caught in the front lines with a bunch of overts and he's sitting in a ridge which has got enough confusion and enough overt behind it that he couldn't move anyplace. So, there he is. See that? And wouldn't you like a goals process which might do as follows: which might omit the first two or three goals and leave you with only four or five, you see. Wouldn't that be interesting?

Male voice: Oh, yeah.

Just cut off the first three and make it much faster to find those first the – the first goal, and just bypass some big chunks of GPM which will just blow off. Wouldn't that be nice?

Well, that's the speedup which I have in mind here. I find this very fascinating. We've already run people, found perfectly valid goals and run people to free needle and found out they had a rock slamming needle underlying the free needle.

You overlist beyond this free needle a ways – fifteen, twenty minutes, something like that – and you had a firing rock slam turn on every now and then.

Well of course, this pc was going right on down the goals chain. Well, we already see that the track is laid out in cycles. A cycle is a series of lives or types of lives associated and allied, and with – highly variable in its time element. It could be a trillion years, it could be a billion years, it could be a hundred thousand, it could be sixty thousand.

It's just the fellow starts in on this brand-new endeavor to him and he goes on through to the end where it's so dead and so buried and so gone, that he won't admit to any part of it and he doesn't even stay in that corner of the universe, you understand. That's a cycle.

Well now, actually, what starts a cycle is a prime postulate that is not particularly influenced by any earlier experience or postulate. He starts himself out with a new goal which is not a solution to his problems, but a new game.

And then he'll swish up the track quite a ways going on this, and it'll finally – finally the steam will leak out of the boiler, and he eventually lays that aside and he finds himself taking no interest in life and doing nothing and getting no place, and in no trouble and he hasn't got any ability to get into trouble with, see. You know, it takes a certain amount of ability to get into trouble, see.

And he's finally gone down through the bottom and he's lying around now listening to Brahms, you see. Life is hopeless, you know, and he's reading modern literature and he's pretty well washed out. Well actually, he goes on out through the bottom and while out through the bottom, why, he finds himself sitting out on cloud 9, realizes he's been there for quite a while, something like this.

And he didn't report back to the area for a new body or anything like that. He feels quite perked up, you know. He perks up – up to two or three grasshopper power. And he says, "I – I think I'll get back in the game. Let's see now, what would be a good game? To never have anything anyplace! Yeah – not even me could figure my way out of that."

And off he goes on a new cycle. You get the idea? Every other postulate he makes after that cycle is involved with this basic postulate. And he gets in – then they're really solutions to problems caused by the basic postulate.

So he goes tearing along for sixty thousand or another trillion or something like that. It all depends on how good it is. We someday will probably have a scale up on the wall. This postulate will carry you this many thousand years, you know. But anyhow that's – that's the way it goes. Well, now that's a cycle.

Well, now those are pieces of GPM. Actually, however, they have an interrelationship even though the thetan thinks they do not. It is of interest to clean up the earliest one you can get your paws on.

In other words, bypass some of them and you'll find out the later cycles then are very easy to knock off. Sometimes you might find they might even knock off just by inspection because he's less powerful in making his postulate each time, you see. That's a dwindling spiral type of action.

All right. Then theoretically is it – it is of interest to reach as far back on the track as you can for a goal that is still reconcilable with the pc's reality on it and his ability to run it. Those are the monitoring factors.

Now of course, you get that if a goal will fire – just take it this way: If you can get a goal to rocket read, you can list it. That is your guiding principle. You can get one to fire and fire and fire – all cleaned up, you see. It's all tigered to pieces. Everything is off of it and it's rocket reading every time you say it and everything is going along fine. Now, then that goal should – if it is kept clean during listing and is listed properly and if the lines are kept clean

and are firing – that goal should list through to free needle, which means the vanishment of great chunks of GPM. Don't you see?

Then you have to go and find yourself a new goal. And if you've got – find one now that rocket reads after it's been all cleaned up, why, you can list that one out. Oh, this is sequence. You're actually reaching further and further and further and further back.

Now, if you were to come forward and find a later goal, that would be the easiest thing you ever did, see. It's easy – it'd be easy to isolate or locate these things. And it is of interest to find the earliest goal that you can lay your paws on that is real to the pc and if it's real to the pc, it fires just repeating what I just said.

Well, weirdly enough, a thetan never gets so messed up that he fails to leave out a flag. He gets buried in the cemetery but he usually has a tombstone.

You want to know, in early work this was particularly useful. The key engram of the person's current life still had a piece of picture. And the pc would go back and forth past this picture, and you ask him sometime – you ask him, "Have you got any very innocent pictures there?" "You got any pictures that don't mean anything very much?"

And, "Well, there's – yes, there's one here of my grandfather's rocking chair. Ha-ha. That's all. It's just an empty rocking chair. I see that quite often."

Sometimes grandfather has been in it, but the more he's gone across it, grandfather has been less in it – now isn't in it at all. It's just an empty chair. Well, you go and explore this. And you can actually take off and run it as an engram if you can get the fellow to face up to any part of it and the next thing you know, the darnedest Roman arena turns up around there, and it'll be a key engram on this lifetime.

In other words, he left a little flag flying out here of an empty rocking chair. And actually, there's an automobile accident and a couple of operations, and a funeral, and so forth, that he's forgotten all about. He doesn't know anything about these. These have just slipped his mind but he did leave a rocking chair sitting there.

I think it's quite remarkable. A thetan nearly always does this. He's always got a rocking chair or a little flag or ... Now and then he sees a small inscription on something that isn't there, see. It's just a little memory tab that sticks out of the reactive bank.

You'll find this thing in many of its manifestations. And the goal has a rock slam left on it. A key goal has a rock slam on it. Now, I don't say that you can't find a goal which is later on the track, closer to present time than that, and prove it out and clean it, don't you see, because we've done it. And then find an earlier goal and prove it out and list it to Clear, you see, and then so forth.

Nor do I say that you could do this on every person because we haven't done it on every person. There are a lot of people who haven't been cleared in this world. You might like to read the papers sometime if you need any proof of that.

And the generality here however, is that you're liable to run into somebody who's just got such a rock slamming needle or such a dirty, dirty needle all the time, all the time, all the

time, that you can't prepcheck them, you know, you can't find any handle to prepcheck with, and you can't find any handle to assess with, and you couldn't do a Tiger Drill on them, and so forth.

Well, it'd be very doubtful if you would ever find a goal on that person. Very doubtful. It'd take a long time to sec check, prepcheck them out to a point where the needle is readable.

Funny part of it is the needle will probably at once collapse in – back into it the rock slam and the pattern the second you hit the goals list. Because we've never had any trouble with this, except when we were monkeying with goals. Isn't that interesting?

Audience: Mmm. Yeah. Mm-hm.

And every time you start monkeying with goals, you have dirty needles, persistent dirty needles, you have rock slams, you have this and that, and man. Yes, it's associated with goal phenomena.

And now, you recognize that that pattern is a "Failed to reveal." But how about the big pattern? He doesn't know what he's failing to reveal. You sometimes can pull a series of overts on the big pattern against a certain thing, like an auditor, and it'll turn off.

You can fool around with somebody's goals list and find every rock slamming item on the goals list, write those few down, pull a common denominator off, test it several different ways and then ask him if he has an overt against that lately. He gives it to you, and the rock slam turns off. You get that as a method of turning off a rock slam, but it's an overt on a certain target.

One of the most fruitful ways of finding what that target is, is to have nulled several hundred goals on the pc and found that some of them rock slammed. Take those goals, write them down on a little separate list – it isn't that they're in – and look at their subject matter, and then just sort out their subject matter until it makes sense. Test it a little bit. You know, overts on this. All of a sudden, you'll be able to turn on the rock slam at will.

But this oddity gets in your road: Subject one turned on a rock slam easily and well, but it wore out almost at once. Subject two that you selected turned on a rock slam and it wore out. You knew you had it, you see, and then it was gone. It didn't do it anymore. Subject three – and it turned on a rock slam and then it was gone too. And then subject four, and subject four turns on a rock slam and it goes on. Every time you got a rock slam, you use subject four and it turns it off. Every time, you know, it works like a charm and this button isn't wearing out.

Why did others wear out? Because they were just locks on the main button, and a very little bit of auditing cleaned them off the chain.

You'll sometimes find somebody's goal was dial-wide rock slamming. And it turned out not to be his goal, and you found another goal, and it was dial-wide rock slamming, and that turned out to be not his goal, and then you got another goal, and it was dial-wide rock slamming. Where the hell? What's happening? See? Well, it's just that they weren't, but the charge is associated with them.

In other words, the charge can trickle up the line and attach itself to different things, which are allied in subject matter.

It's like a tree. At first any branch of the tree looks like the trunk, if you're examining it blindfolded. And you'll eventually find the trunk. Yeah, you take ahold of a limb of a tree ... And supposing you were examining merely the top of the tree, and the limbs of the tree and you had no sense of up or down and you wouldn't know where the top of this tree was. They'd all feel about the same, actually.

Well, the test would be, that when you finally got hold of the top of the tree, that button didn't wear out. Yeah, but you don't feel too cocky just because you get something to rock slam madly. Because just about the time you turn the meter around to show the pc or rush out of the auditing room without calling a break to find an Instructor to say, "Look, look, look!" you know. You'll get back, the subject's probably worn out by that time. And then you have to bunt around a little bit to find the allied subject that turns it on. I mean, this charge that is imparted to associated subjects-related subjects – is about the only thing that gets in your road going back down this track because you're happily climbing down a branch of the tree, and you say, "We sure are getting near the trunk," and then the branch peters out and never goes near the trunk.

There's no rock slam, and there was no bridge, and nothing, no little man popped out of the E-Meter and says, "Go to the left; this is a one-way street." You know? No directions are given you.

But out of all this potpourri, if you followed it down by doing a goals list and finding each type of goal that rock slammed, and then tested all of those out, you've got a system, but it's a very laborious system, which would peter out all the time.

Now, Scientology has a number of basic truths in it. There are lots of fundamentals around and instead of hunt and punch, you can always go find yourself a hat full of fundamentals and shove them into the works, you see, and everything goes off afterwards – and you've got some fundamentals called the dynamics.

And the goals chain begins with an overt on dynamics. It doesn't happen to be an intentional overt. It just happens that the goal happens to be, in the woof and warp of life, a hellish overt against a part of one of the dynamics.

Now, you see, let's say there are eight dynamics, and each one has a hundred branches. I know you – they have far fewer in their exact divisions, but you get a pc rattling off of how many parts there are to a fifth dynamic, you ought to listen to him sometime, you see. Now, he's really stuck on the fifth. My God – there's trees and there's grasses and there's shrubs and there's flowers, and then you have to get a botany textbook, you see. And then that gives you all the types of trees, all the types of shrubs, and all the types of flowers – the families thereunto, the climatic differences thereof See? And then there's mice. And psychology isn't totally lost, you know. There's still authorities on mice.

And you say, "Well, to you, 'rodent,' you see." This would be one part of the fifth dynamic. Well, in actual fact, why you should just start reading the catalog of the number of

types of mice there are, you know, let alone rats. So it'd actually get pretty broad, don't you see.

Well, you got all of these parts of life – all of its various ramifications and forms, all branching out from your eight dynamics.

You see, you get an almost infinite variety of life out of these eight dynamics, but you can go back to these eight dynamics. And anybody who has made a postulate may have been in agreement with, totally associated with, everything fine with, seven of the dynamics and 799 parts of the remaining dynamic, but it's still going to be one hell of an overt against at least one of the parts of one of the dynamics. You can count on that; it's going to be an overt. Because life, you see, is built in many frames and varieties of postulates and you're going to have some part of life that is going to be assaulted by any postulate a thetan makes.

We're going to say – well, I'm going to give you a crude example.

The fellow says, "To be a tragedian. That's what I'm going to be. I'm going to be a tragedian. That – that's going to be my next cycle." See?

That's fine. But some other knuckle-duster has said, "To make everybody happy." Those two goals are going to collide. See, somebody else making everybody's "got to be happy," you see, and this fellow's a tragedian, and this is a terrific collision, don't you see.

Well, actually, it's not postulate against postulate that you're particularly worried about. You're worried about postulates against parts of life.

And you've got yourself, and you've got the two divisions of the second dynamic, and you got groups, and you got species, and you've got the fifth dynamic, see, and the remaining species, and you got the sixth, which is the physical universe, including forms, and you've got the seventh which is spirits, and the eighth which is supreme beings, et al., etc. That's getting pretty wild here, don't you see.

And you say, "I'm going to help people," and this is an overt against a god which is designed only to help people. Don't you see.

You're going to help people. You're going to cut his throat, in other words.

See, there's all kinds of crossplays. There's no reason to go into it. You yourself have in your banks several hundred thousand excellent examples.

So you can use this rock slam to find the goals channel by doing the following: by taking the eight dynamics and – assessing them to find which one has a rock slam on it, a dirty needle. Which one gives up a dirty needle?

Now, if we can't get a dirty needle just by inspection, we can always cause one by asking him to think of overts against, and pick out the dirtiest, biggest needle we get.

You have to be clever to ask that question without missing withholds.

All right. Think of committing overts against yourself, and think of committing overts – sexual overts, or against sex – against sex is better – it's all against. You're going to get one of these things to rock slam. That's for sure. Either it was rock slamming just when you read

over the list, and you just picked it up like that or you had to work on it a little bit harder and make something rock slam and pick that. See?

Well, if you've got that, you kind of want to prove it out. You kind of want about – to ask about it. Has he ever committed any overts? Get him to tell you a few, you know, and so on.

Now, what would represent that dynamic to the pc? This is a list that you get. Let's say you got third dynamic. Now, what would represent that dynamic to the pc? You understand what I'm giving you here is not a set pattern; this is merely an exploratory experimental process. But what would represent that third dynamic to him, you know.

And he gives you this, and he gives you that, and he gives you the other thing. Well he might get five – you might get fifty items. But you sit there and do yourself a little Assessment by Elimination is the way you do your Prehav Scale, see, but you're looking for the rock slam.

But you don't care if that list doesn't rock slam. You merely want to find the hottest point of that list. You understand? You just want the hot point of the list. If it doesn't rock slam, we won't worry about it at this particular time. Were just going to find something hot. And that list may stand out totally independent. And it may have, on the third dynamic, it might have something like governments, see. That's hot. Maybe it rock slams, maybe it doesn't rock slam. We couldn't care. It – but it certainly was the hottest button.

If it is the hottest button, then it's the only one on the list that – there's nothing else on the list that is rock slamming. You understand?

The way rock slam is used to – in that particular little dirty needle, *bzzzt*. It's the only one that will.

Now, we – it's very simple. We take our pen in hand. We spread out our legal length, 13 inch by 8 paper. We put down at the top of this, the date and the pc's name. And then we write this sentence: What goal might you have that would be an overt against a government? Question mark. Number 1. Use Arabic numerals.

And the proof of this pudding is whether or not that needle starts falling off the pin.

If that needle goes crash-crash after a little while, you want any – you write down any pain the pc has or any sen, and if that needle's going crash-crash-crash on this list, you just keep going as long as that needle's going crash-crash-crash. Got it?

But if that tone arm is moving, well, ha-ha-ha-ha, got good tone arm motion, and everything is going along here lovely and that rock slam is narrowing and finally gets down to a little dirty needle, and that finally disappears on your listing – when a tone arm needle, not so much the tone arm, but certainly the needle, is no longer caroming around just because you're listing goals and your needle's got nice and quiet, if you're lucky the pc's goal is on that list you wrote.

Ain't that interesting? It's like doing a crossword puzzle. That's interesting. Now, I said if you're lucky. I hope this doesn't happen to you: That you get the rock slam and get

nothing but sen and a stuck needle. You got a rock slam, but you got sen and a stuck needle. You missed some place. Something is wrong here somewhere. Because the rock slam will very shortly disappear. And you will realize at this time that you've gone to the end of a branch, not to the end – top of the tree.

And you've gone down and it petered out, and that isn't so, and the best way to handle that – the best way to handle that is just do yourself a brand-new Dynamic Assessment, and do the whole process all over again.

Now, actually you're not looking at a lengthy process. This does not take long to do. If you think it takes a session to do a Dynamic Assessment, it doesn't.

It takes me ordinarily seven or eight minutes to do a complete Dynamic Assessment with the part. There's no trouble with this, see. It's not a hard job. It's a very simple action. Old Dynamic Assessment is done by change of characteristic.

Now, if you've been unfortunate and this rock slam just peters out, you want to know whether it's going or not, just make another test. Ask for overts against other dynamics, and if you turn on a rock slam again, why, I don't know whether you ought to do the list where it ran out or do a new list on it.

But it's the length of time. You will, I think, learn the dwindling rock slam. You'll realize that you are taking the charge off by goals listing, whereas the rock slam just didn't – it was on and just disappeared, and you don't see it anymore, see.

Well, if you've got a list by dwindling rock slam, you of course are taking the charge off of that rock slam.

Now, you make the guy do 850 goals. You do anything else with a list that had – you have been told for 3GA. Your list is already this discharged when – by the time you do this thing. You don't spend any time much listing on him or straightening him out. You just look for the rock slam. You got that? That's the auditor action. You still want 850 goals from this pc. What do you do with the 850 goals? Well, you put them aside carefully and do nothing with them.

You want that little, short list that went on down to the bottom of the rock slam. That's theoretically the way it would work. See. That leaves you with a handful of goals to null.

Now, if the fellow's goal isn't on that, you either have missed a meter read, which of course you will never do after you leave here. You may do it here, but you will never do it when you have left here.

You miss a meter read or that goal for some reason or other – well, you didn't have it straight or it was the wrong dynamic or the thing panned out – something went wrong on the thing. Still, just repeat the operation and get yourself another short list and see if the goal's on that. That is a fairly catch-as-catch-can economical way of doing it.

Oddly enough, you'll probably have the pc wheezing, sneezing, squeezing and saying, "God, gosh – what do you know," you know. "That's me." This kind of reaction taking place and he'll tell you exactly why this goal is the right goal and you get cognitional actions.

If you do it without – there's no cognition on any case, I would be suspicious of it. If the pc wasn't genning me in on why you had to have this type of goal, I would be suspicious of it. Pc's just writing them all down on a long grind, well, you probably are running down the branch of the tree.

Your rock slam isn't narrowing. It'll eventually just disappear and it'll leave you a list full of sen. That will be the way it is.

All right. Well, there's the experimental use of the rock slam in the discovery of a goal.

Now, perhaps on some pcs, you'd have to use this system absolutely. But on most pcs, the way this is predicted, it would bypass maybe the first two, three goals that you would have to find on the ease and list.

So you see, this shortens up goals, it shortens up clearing if it works properly. As you do it and work with it and run into problems, I will undoubtedly have other things to refine concerning it but for the moment, that's the way it is.

Thank you very much.