

TV DEMO: CASE REPAIR

An auditing demonstration given on
27 February 1963

Here we are with a demonstration. And we're going to give an auditing demonstration here tonight that I hope you will appreciate. But, of course, we're auditing the television station general manager, electronics expert and janitor. And so therefore, whatever happens here on TV that seems a little bit peculiar to you, why, you will know why.

LRH: All right. Have a seat, Reg. And let's see this meter. All right. Now, Reg, the subject of this activity here is totally involved with doing a case straighten-up.

PC: All right.

LRH: The only thing I'm going to do is just go over everything that's happened on your case here

PC: Mm-hm.

LRH: . . . and so forth. Okay? You needn't pick up the cans yet unless you'd feel more comfortable with them.

PC: I'm happy.

LRH: You're happy with them. All right. Now, if you'll notice here, we've got another area. This is another camera down here and it's flipped over so that we've got a... show your papers. See? Pretty good, huh? Reg is pretty good at this sort of thing. Of course, he's not listening to this broadcast so it's all right for me to praise him; I wouldn't dare do that to his face.

Okay. Now, Mr. Williams, you've been auditing Reg here. And the situation deteriorated into the finding of an item which didn't get found and didn't check out. That is the case of a nonchecked-out item.

Auditor: Right.

LRH: There is a lot of things I'm going to go into with relationship to this, because there's a lot of auditing been done here on the case since I last audited you. And

we'll see where we are, just to give people an idea of how to check this back. But also because I'm interested in where you've gotten to.

PC: Thank you.

LRH: All right. Now, our main work here starts in and what I want to do... You very often, by the way, you know, you'll have to be - you'll have to be doing cases to which you have no auditor. So this is very easy tonight because I have Mr. Williams here to interpret his own writing and a few other things of that character. Now, I left this case way back here on an item "a murderer." Right?

Auditor: Right.

LRH: And that fired very nicely. And nice big rocket read on it. And then - oh, oh, oh, oh, I see some errors here. Now, "Who or what would oppose a murderer?" for completion; then assess the questions "Would a murderer oppose... a blank oppose a murderer?" All right. That's beside the point. But we had this, anyway, and we were giving a brief tiger - first a prepcheck on "a murderer" and then on the goal "to know." We had here a auditing session - an auditing session of 3 October of last year and we see here that we had Prepcheck on murder and so forth, and then tiger drill buttons on 1 October HCOB, on the goal "to know," which was, according to this, firing well. Now, did you see that TV demonstration?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: Yeah. Did you see the goal "to know" firing?

Auditor: Yes, a - a - a few times I saw it fire.

LRH: Yeah. All right. That's where we went to. Now, what happened here? Now, we got into a situation - this is in no way a court-martial.

Auditor: Thank you.

LRH: We're not interested in court-martialing you, no matter how richly deserved!

Auditor: Thank you.

LRH: All right. So you're not up for anything here. But I'll show you how one of these things goes. Now, let's just look forward here and see what happened. And as we go over these lists and articles - you picked up the case apparently on about the 22nd of October. Right?

Auditor: Right.

LRH: And we've got here this and that and the other thing. And you did some tests for completeness. Now, here's a represent on "a murderer." Ha-ha-ha-ha, that's the

first thing I saw, ho-ho-ho-ho! Of course, that's a representation of a rock slamming item.

Auditor: Mmm.

LRH: So that's what happened to its read.

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: Right? What does a murderer represent to you? And of course, that went noplac. And any item you would have gotten off of that one - wow, man.

Auditor: That's right. No item on that.

LRH: Well, there wouldn't have been. So that's what happened to "a murderer," as an item. And then we went into a Prehav level "Dislocate"; and I don't know if there was an item here or not.

Auditor: I got a - a ticking item, I remember.

LRH: Yeah.

Auditor: I used it as a - as a - a List One.

LRH: Yeah. Then you eventually did something with this list up here someplace. Where are we at here? Tiger Drill. And we went up here to "Dislocate," probably wrong. Now, we went to - we found something: "a bad manager." How well did that R/S, do you remember?

Auditor: No that - I think "a bad manager" came off the "Dislocate" list.

LRH: Oh, it did, huh?

Auditor: And we - we really treat that as a represent. This was that experimental rundown.

LRH: Oh, all right. We'll neglect it. We'll neglect that. Let's look forward here now. Don't worry about it, huh? I see

Auditor: Now here's some more work on "a murderer."

LRH: And here's some more work on "a murder." This was "murder." Now, the original list was then extended.

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: The original "What isn't part of existence?" was extended. That was where "a murderer" came from. And of course, its read was gone and we got out the end of the list. Now, I don't see where that list is. Must be before that.

Auditor: That it there? There?

LRH: You've got a missing list here someplace.

Auditor: No. Not there.

LRH: Yeow. There you are.

Auditor: There. "Who or what wasn't part of existence?"

LRH: Yeah. And you got "a murder." Is that right?

Auditor: There. Assessed item "murderer."

LRH: All right.

Auditor: And that's the continued list.

LRH: And then you opposed a murder . . .

Auditor: Murderer.

LRH: ... and uh ...

Auditor: Murder.

LRH: Yeah, that's it. And you - "What would a murderer oppose?"

Auditor: "What would a murderer oppose?"

LRH: And "What would oppose a murderer?"

Auditor: No, that was a test listing. I test - that was two headings, a test list ...

LRH: All right.

Auditor: ... and "Who or what would oppose murder?" was the ...

LRH: Yeah, that was your oppterm. And you got down to that and you got something off of that.

Auditor: Now, that's got out of order.

LRH: That doesn't matter. We're not much interested in it, to tell you the truth.

Auditor: Oh, I know what happened, Ron. I got the - I made a - had a two-item package there. And then ...

LRH: Oh ...

Auditor: ... then I checked "an impostor." No, then I went back later, soon as the four-item came out, and I made a four package out of it.

LRH: Oh, all right. All right. And "an impostor" came up here. Your old friend.

Auditor: That was a test listing to see if we could get anything on "an impostor." Nothing.

LRH: And there was nothing.

Auditor: No.

LRH: All right. And we move on forward. Lot of stuff here of one kind or another. I'm sorry to be knocking your items around.

PC: That's all right, Ron.

LRH: I saw right away that that wasn't quite all right. And we found "a gentleman" in this package.

PC: Mm.

LRH: Right.

Auditor: Right.

LRH: Right. And we got "a gentleman" opposed and we got something on that. And this moves on down and then we got "uneducated people."

Auditor: "Society".

LRH: And then you did a "life ...

Auditor: "Society."

LRH: ... "society." All right. That gave you a package. So you finally had a line plot of, says here, "murder" with a terminal "gentleman" - "a gentleman," and "society" versus "uneducated people." That's fine. And then "life consists of" and you found an item, "finding out." All right. That's fine. And you did something with it? What?

Auditor: "Who or what would finding out oppose?"

LRH: Ah, I don't see this list.

Auditor: It came up with - it came up with "sleeping."

LRH: Hm?

Auditor: And the item that opposed that was "sleeping."

LRH: Oh, all right. All right. Gee, that's a long enough list there. Yes, and you got that "sleeping." Okay. Good enough. Now. These lists are a little bit in reverse here, that's why we're having a hard time.

Auditor: Oppose "sleeping."

LRH: And then oppose "sleeping," and you got what?

Auditor: Uh, "a selfish person."

LRH: Yeah, well, you should have a line plot that gives those. I point that out as somewhat negligent. Unless it's in here someplace. But that would be an earlier line plot.

Auditor: And I didn't take it any further than that in view of this note here. I decided to go on to the goal.

LRH: Yes. Yes. Yes.

Auditor: It didn't seem to be getting anywhere ... Well, in other words, I assumed that the goal - getting the goal was more priority ...

LRH: Yeah.

Auditor: ... than fiddling around with that little package.

LRH: Absolutely, absolutely.

Auditor: So the next session I tried to complete that package. And I took the goal "to know."

LRH: All right. And it reacted every time, once with an inch ...

Auditor: Half an inch.

LRH: ...half an inch latent RR. And you got sporadic RRs. And then you listed "Who or what would the goal 'to know' oppose?" Well now, of course, a goal that has been that left alone could be expected to be sporadic. So that would be of no criteria. You go ahead and list it and test list it and see if you could get something out of it. Now, you evidently got something out of it here. "What would the goal 'to know' oppose?" And now we're getting down to what is very, very interesting to me. And I will simply bundle up these other papers and lay those aside. That's all right.

And we will take a look here at this situation. Now. This is 22 February 63, is what we have brought this up to. But we have a goal here, list: "What would - who or what would the goal 'to know' oppose?" And this came up with, I think, the item "secrecy."

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: And - acquainting ourselves with this situation - and we've got "secrecy." And where is the check out slip? Item checked out by Brian: "secrecy." RR, sometimes one inch, sometimes a half an inch, sometimes a quarter of an inch. But, nevertheless, apparently it was an RI and it was firing. Now, where did that occur on this list? That's what's very important. Where did that occur on this list? Let's find out what this looked like.

Auditor: I think it was about page 6. Yes, page 6.

LRH: All right.

Auditor: That's the goal oppose list.

LRH: That's correct. And you had RRs on TD and you had RRs on nulling, huh?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: All right. Now, did you make a test of this for term or oppterm?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: And it was determined it was a what? Here is oppose "secrecy."

Auditor: Well, frankly, I couldn't get a good test out of it. I couldn't be absolutely ...

LRH: Oh, there you're - there you're right there in good company. Because none of these early goals give a good test. None of these items. And you have to be very slippery about it. And you have to test them very gently. And this is too hard a list for a test list. You actually mustn't test list 3M. Completely different than 2-12. Mustn't test list it. Make up your mind. Now, there are several things that can help you make up your mind - that's all right - can help you make up your mind, several things. But this, you see, as a four-page test list, would be absolutely guaranteed to knock your frequency of the bank appetite over tin cup.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: So that you'd find yourself now having to extend the next list.

Auditor: Ah.

LRH: You get the idea?

Auditor: Yeah. Yeah.

LRH: So this is the kind of thing you want to look for. So there - this would upset - this would upset this list right here because it - we've got "Who or what would oppose secrecy?" and we've got this and this and we've got this all on a wrong way list. If it is a wrong-way list. But now, let's take a look at this list and see if we have an increasing incidence of R/S. Pc doping off, pulling missed withholds, pc remarked cognitions on this and that, like concerning his state. Now, what kind of a - what kind of a ... Here's one of the ways to check this thing. What kind of a list do you make when you are doing an oppose list? Let's see, this is, "Who or what would oppose secrecy?" So we want what kind of a list he does as a terminal list, don't we? And the goal "to know" gives us a terminal list. And what the dickens sort of a thing does he list off a terminals list? That is important. This is an oppterm list-the goal "to know" oppose-this is an oppterm list.

But here's our-here's our determination here. And I already know this to be, studying the case, "a judge"? Read those.

Auditor: "A judge, an honest man, a truth seeker, an investigator, a researcher, a straight-forward man, a brave man, an open man, an open-minded man, a man with an open mind, a cheat, a briber" - I'm sorry - "a library Scientology, a seeker after, truth, policeman, the law courts courts of law," and ...

LRH: I know this pc. I know this pc and that's a nice terminals list. Where's the RRs?

Auditor: There aren't any.

LRH: What's this - RR?

Auditor: It's one RR.

LRH: It says R/S. R/S. Well, it's an interesting list, but it didn't give any RRs. Is that what we assume?

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: All right. Now. We got that list which actually shouldn't have been done, which occasionally you find yourself doing.

Auditor: May I have a look at my - my reports?

LRH: There's your reports.

Auditor: Yes, that's the first list I did.

LRH: "Secrecy."

Auditor: Yes. I - first of all, I tested it treating "secrecy" as an oppterm. Then when that didn't go so well, I ran the other way.

LRH: Hm-mm. And how didn't it go so well? What happened?

Auditor: Well, it's just the - the - the nonappearance of a lot of RRs. In other words, here, that - that's a question mark RR. There's two reads there I just didn't see because of the body motion on that one. Some surges here.

LRH: Mm.

Auditor: Now, actually, there's just the one question mark RR there. Oh, and there's a question mark RR on page 1 and a question mark RR on page 3.

LRH: "An honest man," huh?

Auditor: Mm.

LRH: All right. Got the pc right here. Pick up the cans, would you, Reg. Is it all right with you if I make a check on you?

PC: Yes.

- LRH: Especially if I put the plug in. All right. Now, let's take a look at this item here that was apparently the last something or other on this list and let's see what we've got. Okay? This is not very important what we're doing here. But there was an item there, so let's see if there wasn't anything about it.
- PC: Mm-hm.
- LRH: Okay. Is it all right with you if I start a short check?
- PC: Sure.
- LRH: All right. Here it is. Start of check. All right. Now, we have an item, "an honest man." All right. Now, uh - what's this incipient RR?
- PC: Oh, I-I-sorry, I was ahead of you, Ron. I was starting to see - to see if there was any form of suppressions or something on here and - uh . . .
- LRH: Yes? Yes?
- PC: I-I was just sort of. . .
- LRH: Oh, all right. All right.
- PC: I was looking at the item, incidentally.
- LRH: Good. Good. I probably added another suppression to it by doing that.
- PC: All right.
- LRH: All right. Here we go. On the item "an honest man", has anything been suppressed?
- PC: Well, what I suppressed just then, I just caught myself, was that - uh - am I fighting honest men? In other words, is it - is "honest men" an oppterm? That was the thought that I had when you asked me what was going on.
- LRH: Oh, all right. Thank you. On the item "an honest man", has anything been suppressed?
- PC: Okay, there's something here. Um -I've always strived so hard to be honest and it's been so -not so much out of - I don't want to put a halo up here, but it's more out of - uh - that's the only thing you dare to do is to be honest, you see.
- LRH: All right. Okay.
- PC: Like having a good cheat sometimes, but
- LRH: All right. On this item, has anything been suppressed?
- PC: I don't get anything else right away, Ron.

- LRH: All right. Very good. Let me check it on the meter. On the item “an honest man”, has anything been suppressed? That's pretty hard to tell. I'm going to ask that again, it's equivocal read. On the item “an honest man”, has anything been suppressed? I'd say yes.
- PC: All I'm getting here is that I'm not 100 percent honest, as I told you before, when it comes to income tax.
- LRH: Oh, all right. Okay.
- PC: That suppresses an honest man if anything does.
- LRH: All right. Very good. Okay. Now, on the item “an honest man,” has anything been suppressed? Oh, I can't make anything out of that particularly. Do you think that's flat?
- PC: I haven't got any answer, Ron.
- LRH: Very good. On the item “an honest man,” is there anything you've been careful of?
- PC: Oh, I've always been very, very careful to be honest. Um - if I'm being dishonest, uh - I have been very, very careful to make sure I wouldn't get found out. Um - very careful to be - to give the impression of an honest man. And uh - I'm being very careful now not to give the impression that I'm a dishonest man, because I'm not, really.
- LRH: All right. Okay.
- PC: That about covers it.
- LRH: All right. Very good. On the item “an honest man,” is there anything you've been careful of?
- PC: I'm always careful to prove that I'm an honest man - to um - um - put my cards on the table all the time.
- LRH: Okay. Good. On the item “an honest man,” is there anything you've been careful of?
- PC: I don't get anything else.
- LRH: Very good. I'll check that on the meter. On the item “an honest man,” is there anything you've been careful of? All right, I don't think there was a read on that. On the item “an honest man, is there anything you've failed to reveal?
- PC: Failed to reveal that I threw a bit of paper away today that perhaps if I was 100 percent honest I wouldn't have done.
- LRH: All right. On the item “an honest man,” is there anything you've failed to reveal?

- PC: No, I don't - um - don't get anything else.
- LRH: All right. Very good. I'll check that on the meter. On the item "an honest man," is there anything you've failed to reveal? Well, that's not anything I can read offhand. Do you think that's flat?
- PC: I was just sitting here, but I - yes, I think it's flat - I was just sitting here and this seems to be making me feel a very dishonest man for some reason.
- LRH: All right. All right. I'm sorry.
- PC: It's all right.
- LRH: Okay. Very good. All right. On the item "an honest man," has anything been invalidated? Get anything?
- PC: No, I didn't get anything right away.
- LRH: All right. Very good. On the item "an honest man," has anything been suggested? Yes, there is a suggest on that.
- PC: I've always suggested that I'm an honest man. And - um - I know I -when I was very young, I had the idea that it would be very nice to be - to go down as an honest man. And - um - I suggested it would be a good idea to be very honest in business and so on, which I have been. Like the only way to be.
- LRH: Hm.
- PC: I overstepped the mark in that direction. But sheer for profit, I mean.
- LRH: All right. Okay. On the item "an honest man," has anything been suggested? I don't think there was a read on that. Okay. On the item "an honest man," has a mistake been made? Yes, there's apparently a mistake there.
- PC: Um - that - the only mistake I can get is something it might be that I sometimes get this tied up with "a man of integrity." It's very much the same thing, but - um - well, that would have described it better.
- LRH: Hm. All right. On the item "an honest man," has a mistake been made? All right. That would appear to be more or less it. On the item "an honest man," has anything been protested? I didn't see anything clearly read on protest. On the item "an honest man," has anything been decided? There's probably a decide there. This is all guess work on this meter, by the way.
- PC: Um - well, I probably deci - I probably decided that as I go out so much for honesty that I must be basically dishonest. It's uh - you see?
- LRH: All right. Good enough. Good enough. You got that?
- PC: Yes.

- LRH: All right. On the item “an honest man,” has anything been decided? You think of another answer to that? Lot of... lot of enturbulence to this meter.
- PC: Um - well, it is the sort of thing that is said that you decide to be honest: I've decided to be honest in future. But uh - I can't - um -ever recall that dishonesty ... Well, once as a child I was dishonest and got caught out very badly. That's right, I stole some change that's - from some shopping I've done - taking it or something like that.
- LRH: Mm.
- PC: And uh -got caught and was very badly punished. But, so I just sort of decided then that in future I should be honest.
- LRH: All right. Very good. All right. I'm going to read this item now: an honest man. An honest man. An honest man. Well, I would say offhand there's probably an R/S under this someplace, but that is definitely not the item. Okay? You can put down the cans for a moment.
- PC: Yup.
- LRH: All right. Now, let's cross that out as TD, no dice. And now let's take up the item itself, “secrecy,” and see what we get here. Although from the amount of Jumping around here, probably the wisest thing to do is take this goal “to know,” and see if I can get a fire out of that and then take up the item “secrecy.” And then we will take up some of this material here toward the end of your list and we will check this secrecy oppose end of list. Okay? And we'll see what we can find on there, because there is something on that.
- Auditor: Hm.
- LRH: Because I noticed you went page after page, you see ...
- Auditor: Interesting.
- LRH: It's so clean. See, these additional pages are clean. I maligned you you had completed the list. So you can put it down in your diary, “Ron maligned me and admitted it in public.”
- Auditor: I'll never be able to use that motivator again.
- LRH: Yeah! Yeah!
- PC: It's recorded.
- Auditor: Is it? Oh, good.
- LRH: Yeah. All right. The list is complete. But somewhere before this list was ended, you see, an item has appeared. It's for sure the item is there somewhere. And you

will find - because it's an RI oppose list-that the item undoubtedly will fall on the matter -this is the wrong list - undoubtedly will fall on the last That's oppose secrecy, your test.

Auditor: That's secrecy oppose.

LRH: Yes. And somewhere here-there's page 9, now, there's apparently no action clear on out to the end here, you see, to page 12.

Auditor: But this is treating secrecy as the term here, Ron.

LRH: Yes.

Auditor: It's all right, is it?

LRH: Well, quite, what's the matter?

Auditor: We've just treated it ... That's -yeah, I see.

LRH: That list is now scrubbed.

Auditor: Right. That says I was treating it as an oppterm.

LRH: That's treating this list here -we're treating this list here as oppose secrecy. And we've just found out it can't be an oppterm, because we took your last reading item ... Now, if I wanted to be very, very clever here and if I wanted to do exactly what I was teaching everybody to do, I would take these. What's that?

Auditor: 'A microscope.' And that did R/S. So did that one R/S.

LRH: So, we got "a microscope." What's this next one? "A mirror"?

Auditor: 'A mirror.'

LRH: And what's the item above this?

Auditor: That's cognitions. Yeah, "a drawing."

LRH: "A drawing."

Auditor: See, that was after pc doping off, pulling missed withholds.

LRH: Oh, all right. So I to some degree took that into effect. So this couldn't be a pull-over.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: See? So we'd have to go -you're supposed to go above and below. See? All right. Pick up the cans, would you Reg, and just let me read these. Just see where we stand here, see if we can get anything at all. I'm just being supercareful now; this is almost idiot carefulness. In view of the fact you had cognitions just before this and this was your very next item.

PC: Mm.

LRH: All right. "A microscope." A tendency. "A microscope." "A mirror." Naw, but if you look here; look here, old man. "A microscope," right here.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: And did you see that thing R/S?

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: Small R/S.

Auditor: See, it's marked R/S there on the list.

LRH: See.

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: Well, I know. But just because it's marked on the list, it shouldn't R/S when it's read back.

Auditor: No, not if you've got a complete list.

LRH: No.

Auditor: Not that I ...

LRH: So there's something awful wrong with this list.

Auditor: So it's definitely incomplete.

LRH: Well, it would be either incomplete or ...

Auditor: Wrong way to.

LRH: ... or wrong way to. And because it didn't RR anyplace and when you did it the other way to, you did get RRs ...

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: ... then we immediately assume that it was . . .

Auditor: The other way.

LRH: ... other way. Even though we shouldn't have done this list in the first place. See?

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: We shouldn't have done this oppose secrecy list. If we hadn't done it, by the way, you'd only do this just a few items at the top. See?

Auditor: Mm.

LRH: Your trick is, look at the pc, see. Is the pc getting any darker?

Auditor: Mm.

LRH: You know, that kind of thing.

Auditor: Mm.

LRH: As a list. And I don't care if he's going off and talking and that sort of thing. Still, look at the pc. You can tell just after a few items: it's that fast. See, you can tell all of this. All right. Now, we're going to go into the goal here, the goal "to know," and see if we can do anything about that, Reg.

PC: All righty.

LRH: Is that all right with you?

PC: Mm-hm.

LRH: And we're just going to drill that up and see what we've got here. All right. On the goal "to know," has anything been suppressed?

PC: All the mistakes I make are suppressed. Hopes about the goal are suppressed. Um - anything I don't know is obviously suppressed.

LRH: All right.

PC: Mm-hm.

LRH: On the goal "to know," has anything been suppressed?

PC: I've suppressed thoughts that maybe didn't read all that well.

LRH: Mm. All right.

PC: Can't think of anything else on this.

LRH: Okay. On the goal "to know" -I'll check it on the meter now. On the goal "to know," has anything been suppressed? Oh, I think there's another suppression there of some kind or other. This meter's a little bit hard to read here tonight.

PC: Mm. I apologize.

LRH: No, no, no, no. No, no -it isn't you. It isn't you so much.

PC: Well, whenever I don't let other people know things I'm suppressing the goal "to know." Um -and I had to suppress such things as I- um - it's an overt not to know. If- um - well for example if you want something, and I should be one step ahead of you, I should know. It's almost theetie-weetie in reverse, do you see?

LRH: Oh, I see.

PC: I should know. And it's -I always feel guilty if somebody finds something before I do, or anything like this. It really is -people might find this hard to believe -but I

feel that way - that I ought to know first. And - um -I ought to know. So I suppress -then I look at this analytically, well, it is a damn silly hill to take, you see -be sensible - but I've got to suppress this overt feeling: I'm committing overts every time I don't really know. If I don't know my data 100 percent, you see, it's an overt. If I make a mistake, it's an overt. And I feel this and yet I suppress it down because it's - uh -analytically it's so damn silly.

LRH: All right. Okay. I'll check that on the meter. On the goal “to know,” has anything been suppressed? I didn't see a thing there. All right. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you've been careful of ?

PC: I'm always very careful to try and to know. And this does work in reverse that I'm also careful not to let people know, uh - on some things um - in particular, the wife and so on, that I'll be very, very secretive about this. There seems to be a flip on it. But it's um - this seems to work that I have to know myself but other people don't have to know. I suppose it's probably a win if I know and somebody else doesn't. And uh -so I'm - I'm careful when I'm keeping other people in the dark on anything.

LRH: Hm. Hm. All right. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you've been careful of?

PC: Well, I've been careful to look at this goal to see how it is affecting my life and to see - uh - try and see what sort of things it's - it's doing and so on, and work it out and be sensible about it.

LRH: All right. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you've been careful of ?

PC: I can't think of anything.

LRH: All right. I'll check that on the meter. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you've been careful of? Yes, there is another one here.

PC: Well, I was careful to um -come into Scientology. That's what came up right away. Because - uh - this was right up my ... I didn't realize at the time but I've since realized it that - uh - Scientology is the science of knowing how to know and so, of course., when I saw this, this seemed terrific to me. And I didn't -and I -whenever I was running PE course this would be the sermon: If you want to know anything, boys, you join Scientology. Do you see? And this was a terrific entry point for me. And I was very careful to take it up.

LRH: All right. Very good. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you have been careful of? Ah, that's very equivocal. Let me -let me ask you again here. Unless you've thought of something. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you've been care-

ful of? Oh, I wouldn't say -there is no read there. On the goal "to know," is there anything you've failed to reveal?

PC: Um - to other - as far as other people are concerned I've failed to reveal, as I was telling you earlier on. Um - this hasn't come up lately., but I have gone to great lengths to substitute "know"-uh- with um ... I've always said, "Well, I don't know, but

I always know where to find out. "So that's - uh - I like notebooks and - or notebooks and books of reference, which I rarely go to but which I like to have there. And I can always find out. I always prize myself that I've got that far on knowing, that I know where to find out.

LRH: All right. Good. On the goal "to know," is there anything you have failed to reveal?

PC: No, I think that's about all.

LRH: All right. I'll check that on the meter. On the goal "to know," is there anything you have failed to reveal? Got another one here.

PC: Um - well, that I will institute lots of um - mechanics in order to know. That board, for example, in the office - uh -for students goals, so that I know what they're doing or we know what they're doing. Um -this TV setup that I - Skinner - I - I should know, you see, where to put the various things, but I have to get Skinner to label them all very carefully so that I can use all these aids to knowing.

LRH: Mm. All right. On the goal "to know," is there anything that you failed to reveal?

PC: Well, this - um - this works out as far as - um - somebody else's goal is in direct opposition to mine or apparently is in direct opposition. And I've never revealed it either to myself or to anybody else quite how this works out because it's extraordinary Somebody has the goal "not to be found out," you see, and my goal is "to know."

LRH: Uh-huh.

PC: And this opposite seems to apply quite a lot in cases and uh -I've always sort of felt shy of even examining this in too much detail, you know, because it might reveal something. So as far as revealing it to myself that ... Are you -are you with me on this?

LRH: Yes, yes, I got it.

PC: Good.

LRH: All right. Check that on the meter again. On the goal "to know," is there anything you've failed to reveal? I don't think that read. Did you think of another

- PC: The only thing I thought generally was that - uh - anything I don't reveal, of course, is an overt act. So I should confess. And I mean, uh - uh - as you know from experience, I mean, take that customs business, I daren't
- LRH: Oh, yes.
- PC: . . . come through with anything because I would give ... We were thinking it was on "impostor," at the time, but it actually is on this goal "to know." Do you see?
- LRH: Oh, all right.
- PC: Because I'd give the game away. I can't really feel it's an overt not to reveal that I know it. You see?
- LRH: Oh, yes. All right. On the goal "to know," is there anything you've failed to reveal? Boy, there is - there is something there, now, now.
- PC: Well, what I just thought of then was, now there is a dirty trick; I just - I just committed an overt on you, you see, I'm getting you involved in the customs. They'd think you and I are running a terrific customs racket, do you see?
- LRH: Okay. All right. On the goal "to know," is there anything you have failed to reveal? I didn't get a read on that one.
- PC: No.
- LRH: That's clean now. All right. On the goal "to know," has anything been invalidated? Looks clean to me. On the goal "to know," has anything been suggested? I'd say so.
- PC: Oh, um - what Fin getting now is, there's not-know and know. And uh - if is - not-know is higher on the scale than know, or to know, and then know. And um - that - that's actually a suggestion to me. I haven't got a firm reality on it myself, but I can see the sense of it. But I-I started to look at it again. That's something that has been suggested to me and I sort of accept it.
- LRH: Mm. Mm.
- PC: Before I can have a goal "to know," I must obviously have had a goal to not-know or something to that extent.
- LRH: All right. On the goal "to know," has anything been suggested? There is another suggest.
- PC: Well, I suggested the goal was mine when we were on TV. Um - when you, upstairs, when we found this goal.
- LRH: Uh-huh.
- PC: I suggested it.

- LRH: All right. On the goal “to know,” has anything been suggested? All right, I don't think that was one. Okay?
- PC: Uh-huh.
- LRH: On the goal “to know,” has a mistake been made? I didn't get one there. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you have been anxious about? Yeah, I think so.
- PC: Well, I'm a bit anxious about whether this goal is in or out and whether it is my goal. I mean the usual anxiousness that goes on with goals, I suppose.
- LRH: All right. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you've been anxious about? I'd say, yes, there's another one.
- PC: Well, I'm forever anxious. I mean, this is a -a terrible anxiety all my life on not -if I don't know anything. But uh - and I can see that many a time I got ARC broken just because I don't know. People come and ask me a question, if I don't know the answer, I often find that I'm getting ARC broken with them. Because they -and then I accuse them of running a not-know on me, you see. Uh - and this happens. So anx - I mean, that's one long anxiety with this goal, Ron.
- LRH: All right. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you have been anxious about? I think there is another one. Doesn't have to be, but uh
- PC: Well, I - I'd have to dig for it. I haven't got anything
- LRH: You don't think All right. Let me test it again. I might have slipped on that. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you've been anxious about? Yeah, there is one there.
- PC: UM.
- LRH: You'd better dig.
- PC: Right. Um.
- LRH: There.
- PC: I-I know. I was a bit anxious when Peter was checking it um -out for the first time on this.
- LRH: Uh-huh.
- PC: Bless his heart, there's probably, and I put it there, a little doubt as to whether it was reading, do you see?
- LRH: Uh-huh.
- PC: It was equivocal or something like this. And so I've probably been a bit anxious whether it has been in fact a goal that should be run. Yes, I think so.

- LRH: Very good. All right. On the goal “to know,” is there anything you've been anxious about? Well, I didn't get a thing there. Okay?
- PC: Uh-huh.
- LRH: All right. This needle's getting cleaner, by the way.
- PC: Good.
- LRH: On the goal “to know,” has anything been protested? I have a protest here.
- PC: I protested um -that it should be run, I think, when we were messing around with something else on the begin -beginning of the intensive with - uh - with Peter.
- LRH: Uh-huh.
- PC: Uh, and I've been protesting “to know” is a very difficult goal to carry around on your shoulder. I can't get anything else.
- LRH: All right. On the goal “to know,” has anything been protested? That's equivocal. Can you think of another one?
- All right. I'm going to ask that again. On the goal “to know,” has anything been protested? I'd say there's another protest in there someplace.
- PC: Um - well, I think it - it's - this comes down to protesting about - um -people who've got a goal “not to be found out” letting everybody know everything, and I've got a goal “to know” and being very quiet about it.
- LRH: All right. Good enough, Reg. On the goal “to know,” has anything been protested? That's nice and clean. Okay?
- PC: Uh-huh.
- LRH: On the goal “to know,” has anything been decided? Ah, yes!
- PC: Well, I decided it was my goal “to know” all along. Soon as we got a tick on TD, I decided, that's mine, that's the lot. I'm quite certain on that.
- LRH: All right. All right. On the goal “to know,” has anything been decided? There's another decide, I think.
- PC: Yes. This -quite likely. This is on what I-I-you once said to me that I was a great decider and -or something along those lines. And I often wondered -I have since wondered whether cognitions - (quote) “cognitions” I've had., have in fact been decisions.
- LRH: Oh, yes.
- PC: Such as, uh -decide that this is a heavy goal and it must be very difficult to carry this goal around. And then uh, this is the way it hits you. Whether, in fact, I sort

of have a look and decide these things. So it's quite likely there's a lot of decisions on the -on the line here.

LRH: All right. On the goal "to know," has anything been decided? I don't think that did a thing. Okay?

PC: Uh-huh.

LRH: How do you feel about this now?

PC: Fine.

LRH: All right. Let's get in the counter button just for fun here, which is "not-known." On the goal "to know," has anything been not known?

PC: Hm. I thought I'd be saying no.

LRH: Hm?

PC: Um - it's not known exactly - uh - what will be the end result of being free of this goal. I can - I'm - I'm not frightened of the end result -that can't be anything but good. Uh -that is quite - there is no analytical fear because I can see the way it holds one down -it must be very nice to be free on this, to know or not to know, you know, not have this terrific worry all the time. And one would have better knowledge if it -there. But I haven't known the exact feeling of the - what - what the end product would be.

LRH: Hm.

PC: Oh, oh, there -there's another decision. That's right. That I - if I could get that, then the goal would blow. If I could just get to know what it would be like without this goal, then the goal wouldn't be there. Of course, if I could visualize that really. But uh, so it's unknown.

LRH: All right. All right. On the goal "to know," has anything been not known? That's equivocal. On the goal "to know," has anything been not known? Ah, there's -there must be one in there. There's another one.

PC: I haven't known tonight whether the goal when you've been saying it is reading. And uh -and, of course, there's a -a lot of the items on the list are not known - uh - whether they've R/Sed and so on and a fair amount of mystery which I'm not complaining about. on -with the auditing, but are things which I- are not known.

LRH: All right. Okay. On the goal "to know," has anything been not known? Can you think of another one? I'm rather prone, I'll test that one more time, just to be sure. On the goal "to know," has anything been not known? I'd say there was another one there someplace.

- PC: Uh, what comes up here is that I don't um -get a bit foxed on knowing what not-know is. And that is not-know; it's all to do with ... Of course, that comes before the goal "to know."
- LRH: Oh, this is bothering you a little bit?
- PC: Yes, this does bother me a bit.
- LRH: Ah, I see.
- PC: I get this conception; I mean, I can see that high -higher up. But this state in between knowing this, presumably, and then not-know and then to know, just bewilders me a bit. And that is certainly not known.
- LRH: All right.
- PC: ...and until your needle's floating it would be.
- LRH: All right. Is there a protest on the question?
- PC: No. No. No.
- LRH: No. Just-just-just is that concept?
- PC: Oh, it's just that concept.
- LRH: All right. All right. Sorry I misunderstood you.
- PC: No, that's fine.
- LRH: But I have understood you.
- PC: Good.
- LRH: All right. On the goal "to know," has anything been not known? You know, there's - there's - there's something sneaking around the edges. And it's probably that I am announcing an item. Somewhere on that bank there probably is an item, wouldn't you say? Because I'm starting to get a dirty needle on it-I mean, a dirty read on it. I'm going to leave that one alone if that's all right with you.
- PC: All right. Yes.
- LRH: All right. Okay. Now. Now, let's take this up. You can take a -take a break there, a moment, Reg. Now, let's take this up here. We've cleaned up the goal. We're not going to bother getting the goal to fire right this minute: we'll take that up in a moment. We've got here: secrecy. Hm. And I want you to indicate what's your last R/S on the list. Now, what is that word?
- Auditor: That's a question mark, that was nei - that's not an R/S or an RR. I checked that. It's "Invigilators." And I missed the read -it went off the meter or something -I came back and checked it. It never, to my knowledge ...

LRH: All right.

Auditor: . . . has done anything; apart from that, I didn't see it.

LRH: All right. Now. From page 12 forward ... Now, we've got this item, "dissemination," where does that come on this list? There it is. Well, now, look here, that's on page 7, is that your -actually your last RR on the list?

Auditor: Mm.

LRH: Is it in actual fact the last RR on the list? You got an RR here. Oh, I see, that's page 5. Oh, we've got one folded backwards.

PC: Ah.

Auditor: Ah. Ah.

LRH: Ah. That's on page 7. And that's the last RR on the list.

Auditor: All right. Now, I-I just had a cognition now.

LRH: Now what's that.?

Auditor: I wondered what you meant when you said there was an RR after it. Now I see.

LRH: You had it folded backwards. I didn't look to see what your page numbers were. Some auditors don't even number pages, you know. Now, that read, didn't it? And then did you a& to the list?

Auditor: Dissemination? No.

LRH: Have you added to the list since you tested "dissemination"?

Auditor: No.

LRH: You haven't?

Auditor: No.

LRH: And it read?

Auditor: It gave a - it went like this. It gave a ragged sort of a -a rocket over. And that's the best I've had.

LRH: You mean this width?

Auditor: No. No. No. You're the - you're the auditor.

LRH: All right. And that's the best what?

Auditor: That's - that's - when I called it in - initially, that's what it did. It went - it sort of, it - it took off like a rocket but went and then did these little sort of jerks.

LRH: Well, what's the RR afterwards?

Auditor: Ragged. That's got a question mark after it because I wasn't sure. And I ...

LRH: And then over here someplace it say... "Adding to list."

Auditor: No. Restarted list. That's the next session. In other words, that's the listing I did in that session. And then, look, I'm still getting -I got a little bit of TA there. So the next session restarted ...

LRH: But this thing read. This thing read. This read.

Auditor: It gave a ragged - look, I wrote on it, ragged rocket read. I got a rocket read on the Careful button when I ran a -the three-left-hand- button Prepcheck on it. And next session I- before the next session I had Reg checked by Brian and he was checked on TV and there was no rocket read on there. So the best we've had on it, just calling it, is a - is this ragged motion. And I saw an RR on buttons. And then I- I- in that session I then big tiger drilled it and it went out completely. I couldn't get anything on it.

LRH: All right. In a case like that there are two things wrong. You have picked the wrong item off of your source list. That's the only two things that can be wrong now, see: you picked the wrong item off of your source list or that is the item. And it's been abandoned.

Auditor: Hmm.

LRH: See, that's-because it read and because it RRed on TD, can't be anymore. Your list is adequately completed here. How many pages beyond this have you gone? Seven. Eight.

Auditor: One, two, three. Three pages.

LRH: See? And you haven't got another single read. Now, you just sit there and count the number of items from "dissemination" to the end of the list. Count for me.

Auditor: It's fifty to there. A hundred. Do you want it exactly?

LRH: Gaa. A hundred! No other RR.

Auditor: Right.

LRH: And you go a hundred and it read. You understand how you work this out?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: There's no sense in prowling around the rest of this list. Why isn't there any sense to it? This is 3M we're talking about now, not 2-12. See, here's the item, here's the item, "dissemination." Saw it fired. Fired on the drill. The list has been completed, completed, completed, completed. All right. We go back and sort out the number of things; we can say, "All right, secrecy is opposed wrong way to and we've got

the wrong list or something of the sort.” But, no, “secrecy” gave no RRs of any kind whatsoever.

Auditor: Right.

LRH: So, it's a dead-end list.

Auditor: That's right, so . . .

LRH: We tested the only read on it that was anything: it was nothing. You saw me drill it.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: So that's a scrub. So this says there's nothing can be done about this except that's the item. Or one more possibility because of just this, these are just the only things: you grabbed the wrong one off the source list. Or it could be one more thing: wrong goal.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: See? But that's highly unlikely because you are in the goals channel.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: Because you are getting RRs. So if you're getting RRs, that must be the item. You understand? I mean this couldn't be anything but the item. It's RRed on TD, you've completed the list, you did get “secrecy” checked out, it did fire, it's been opposed, obviously, right way to.

Auditor: Yeah, well, why doesn't that check out?

LRH: I don't know. We will very shortly find out. All right. Do you understand the logic of this?

Auditor: Yes, I see that.

LRH: Yeah. There's always that kind of logic, see. It can't be something else if that fired.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: See, because if something else fired, it would mean your list was incomplete. You understand?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: Only your list can't be incomplete because you've gone a hundred beyond it with no further read.

Auditor: Hm. I'm -I'm pretty - I'm very positive I didn't miss one. Well, I mean, I could have missed one. But I -that would be -I was watching like a hawk, I was watching that meter. Particularly right from that y moment on.

LRH: Oh, we're not worried much about that. Don't you see that you couldn't have missed one?

Auditor: Yeah. Right.

LRH: You see how it proves itself without your sweating yourself to death?

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: You understand this logic? You'll see -sooner or later you'll see some Auditor: well that only read for a little while, so, therefore, we'll take over this list and we will check all of the things over and we will null everything on this list. And the guy's already extended the list a hundred items so he can't extend it any further. You know you can't extend these things more than about twenty-five and then maybe you'll get another RR when you extend it. And you extend it another twenty five after that RR. You've just about had it.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: It won't stand any more strain than that.

Auditor: Hm. Hm.

LRH: See, you can't go on listing the way you do with 2-12. The brakes go on right at that point, you understand?

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: And you did have one that did read.

Auditor: Right.

LRH: So we say, pc-pc very queasy. We say pc very queasy, pc suppress very easy. Pc have goal. We want to know. He doesn't know. He wants to know. We don't know.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: Must be something like that going on. Furthermore, secrecy, and so forth. There's one-one more faint possibility: that somehow or another you got on the RR channel and you haven't got the right goal.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: See, that's another faint possibility.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: And we will see that possibility right now. But because that fired on the list and because the list has been extended ...

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: . . . there's not another thing you can do about it. Now, if that hadn't fired at all you would then go above and below and above and below to make sure that the rocket read hadn't been sort of transferring. And you'd test all of those items.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: And then you'd null it on out to the end of the list. And you'd find out you'd goofed one someplace and it'd now fire. You understand?

Auditor: Right. Ron, I do have a confusion on this. I - I've got a withhold now.

LRH: What is your question?

Auditor: Why could - this - why, because that read, couldn't there have been another one here reading?

LRH: There can't be.

Auditor: I don't see that. I've got to admit that.

LRH: You went 100 beyond your last read.

Auditor: Yeah, but suppose I'd missed one?

LRH: But you went 100.

Auditor: Yeah. I'm being stupid, I know, but ...

LRH: It's just too far.

Auditor: Why?

LRH: Well, all right. Supposing you'd missed one. Supposing you'd missed one.

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: The list has not been added to.

Auditor: That's correct.

LRH: So if two appeared on it the list would be incomplete.

Auditor: Yes, that's correct.

LRH: This can't be incomplete. The list would be one, two ... Now, look-a-here, here's the end of the list.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: And we go one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen, sixteen, seventeen, eighteen, nineteen, twenty, twenty-one, twenty-two, twenty-three, twenty four, twenty-five, twenty-six.

Auditor: No, it was just body motion so I-I checked back and it was dead -deader than a mackerel.

LRH: You understand. But these are not added to.

Auditor: No.

LRH: You didn't add these to the list.

Auditor: No, I didn't. How do I know that list is complete? That's what I don't ...

LRH: You've gone for pages here without a read.

Auditor: And there couldn't be just one read that I missed?

LRH: All right, if there was a read you're sunk. You'd have to be stone-blind.

Auditor: Yeah. Oh, in other words, it's chronically incomplete if there's one more.

LRH: Well, it isn't chronically incomplete or anything else.

Auditor: If there was. Look, I. . .

LRH: We count on the fact that you were at least looking at your meter down the lines here.

Auditor: Yeah well, I'm damn sure I was.

LRH: But you've gone on and on and on. Now, because one thing has read ...

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: ... you understand, if another one now reads after that your list is incomplete. So that isn't it either. You understand?

Auditor: I don't. I'm sorry.

LRH: Yeah. I had -I had a hard time getting this through Mary Sue's head and everybody else has had a hard time. If there's two items on the list, it's incomplete.

Auditor: Agreed.

LRH: So if the second item occurs on the list, that isn't it.

Auditor: The list is incomplete.

LRH: So why are you monkeying with it?

Auditor: To complete it.

LRH: Well, look, this is either now the item ...

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: . . . "dissemination" is the item

Auditor: Uh-huh. Yeah. Oh, I see, or the list's not about to complete, is that it?

LRH: No. No. No. That's nothing to do about it. It's simpler than that. Now, there's the item and it seemed-it's been seen to fire.

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: You got it? It's been seen to fire. Correct?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: So that if any item occurs all the way down here and all the way down here and all the way down here and all the way down here to that point, since the list has not been added to since you tested that

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: ... the item is not on this list if that isn't it.

Auditor: Right. Yes. Now, I see that.

LRH: You see that?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: So the only possible test is, then, to make a continued listing of twenty-five items.

Auditor: Yes, I get that.

LRH: Looking for an RR.

Auditor: I get that.

LRH: This is the only possible way you can test the list.

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: So either "dissemination" is it ...

Auditor: Yep.

LRH: . . . or you add twenty-five to the list to see if you get an RR.

Auditor: Right.

LRH: And if you don't get an RR in that, then "dissemination" was it and you go on and use it.

Auditor: Right. I-I can see this now.

LRH: You understand?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: So the only actions to be undertaken here is “dissemination” to be checked to see if we can't pep up a read out of it.

Auditor: Uh-huh. Yeah.

LRH: Or, if we can't, add twenty-five to the list, see if there's a read on the twenty-five. Because in that space on 3M you'll have gotten a read, see?

Auditor: Yeah. Uh-huh.

LRH: And if that meter doesn't flicker during that additional twenty-five ...

Auditor: It's wrong source.

LRH: No. No. No. No. “Dissemination” is it.

Auditor: Oh, “dissemination” is it. Or if it isn't, wrong source. Yes. It would be - if it didn't - if- oh, yeah, yes, yeah, I see. At twenty-five it must be there.

LRH: Correct. It's the only thing that's been seen to read on the list.

Auditor: Right. Yes. Yes. Yes.

LRH: You follow me?

Auditor: I do follow this now. I've got it.

LRH: You've got this?

Auditor: Yes.

LRH: So all this 3M Well, we saw that read and it only read for a moment, so now we're going to null the rest of the RI list. This would be true of a source list, you'd go all over the darn thing, you see. You see, you'd say, “I -well, we'd better null from that down on to the end, don't you see, and we'd better do this and we'd better do that.” You see how all that proves nothing?

Auditor: Uh-huh. Absolutely nothing. Yeah.

LRH: If you did find one from “dissemination” to the end of the list ... See, the list has not been added to.

Auditor: Yes, that's correct.

LRH: So if there is one there, just so you understand there, it can't be it.

Auditor: Yes. That's right. I understand.

LRH: So the action you're about to see me undertake is what you would undertake on that. I'm sorry, Reg.

PC: That's all right.

LRH: Pick up the cans, would you? That's okay. I beg your pardon. I'm just looking this over to see exactly where we stand here. I'm not trying to teach him anything. I'm trying to whet this thing out. You feel all right?

PC: Yes.

LRH: Is this upsetting to you in any way?

PC: No.

LRH: All right. Are you -I'm going to say your goal. To know. All right. To know. To know. All there was, was one tick and a speeded fall. Okay?

PC: All right.

LRH: Now. Let's take this item "secrecy" and make sure there's no tick on "secrecy." We're not looking for a rocket read, all we want's a tick if it's there. Secrecy. Secrecy. Pretty hard to tell. Secrecy. Secrecy. I don't know whether that's reading or not. Secrecy. Secrecy- Looks like it's reading.

Auditor: Something is reading.

LRH: All right. On this item, has anything been suppressed? It shouldn't read. Rudiments in or not, it just shouldn't read. This is one of your test steps of 3M the way it's been released.

Auditor: I checked this on Friday. It was null.

LRH: And what happened?

Auditor: It was null.

LRH: Secrecy. On this item, has anything been suppressed?

PC: Um, yes. You said to me that in the office you didn't think that - um - that "dissemination" was my item. So then I-I was suppressing that. Well, that's something to do with secrecy. It's coming from secrecy.

LRH: All right.

PC: So I thought well if it isn't, I'll just find something else.

LRH: All right.

PC: So, anyway, there was suppression there.

LRH: Okay. On the item "secrecy," has anything been suppressed?

PC: I'm suppressing all the time using secrecy. Um - I'm not getting anything right away on this that I specifically suppressed. Oh, all right, right away -I suppressed my telephone number. That's a secrecy.

- LRH: All right. Very good.
- PC: To further secrecy.
- LRH: All right. Is that it?
- PC: Uh-huh.
- LRH: All right. On the item "secrecy," has anything been suppressed. I've got another suppress here.
- PC: Well, this was - uh - what I got then was a not-suppress because - uh - I -I once, since this item's been found, I haven't withheld it or suppressed it from anybody.
- LRH: All right.
- PC: Either contra or gone the other way, you see. I'm quite happy
- LRH: All right. All right. Thank you. On the item "secrecy," has anything been suppressed? Something's suppressed there.
- PC: Well, I suppressed arguing with you. You had suggested that "dissemination" wasn't on the goal line. And I suppressed arguing with you that it was. I could well see that "dissemination" was on the goal line. To me.
- LRH: All right.
- PC: And I was quite happy about that and I thought, well, it's silly to argue about it because it's my cognition and not Ron's. I mean, we have varying cognitions on it. And so that was suppressed.
- LRH: All right. Very good. On the item "secrecy," has anything been suppressed? I'd say there's another one.
- PC: Well, I think I suppressed admitting that I am a - a - an excellent suppressor. I mean, I've got a wonderful excuse now that I've got this item. Yes, this is what it is, this item gives me a terrific excuse, you see; I can say, well, it's all right I suppress, it's my item. And this is rather a dirty get-out, you see.
- LRH: Oh, all right.
- PC: I think that's gone on. I've suppressed that.
- LRH: All right. On the item "secrecy," has anything been suppressed? There is one there.
- PC: Uh -this has a lot to do with the term suppression, which I have heard you say is a "forget." Um -I look upon suppress as more overt than a - than a forget; forget seems to me to be something that you haven't got a lot of control over at this stage of the game. That one uh -forget ... Well, there's a lot I've forgotten which on that

score has been suppressed. But I can't think of anything that I have deliberately suppressed or forgotten.

LRH: All right. Okay. On the item "secrecy," has anything been suppressed? Well, now, it's banging on a suppress and the item is reading.

PC: Mmm.

LRH: Give me another suppress.

PC: Um -I wondered whether I suppressed the um -its opposition when I came on TV in here the other night. Probably, I must admit that I did, but how, I don't know, when I was trying to - uh -get "dissemination" reading. That is on the item suppress -that is on the item "secrecy," you see, "dissemination" is.

LRH: Was I going to -I don't quite get quite how you suppressed this or where.

PC: Well, here because it didn't read when it came to be checked out because it read for Peter.

LRH: Oh, that's right. You've been on television elsewhere.

PC: I beg your pardon. Yes, I came in -yes, we came in here on Friday. Brian was checking out. We thought we were going to show them rocket reads, you see.

LRH: Bells ring.

PC: I'm sorry.

LRH: All right. Very good. On the item "secrecy," has anything been suppressed? All right, I still got a reaction. It's another one, however. There.

PC: Well, I think when I was listing it I suppressed - um - despair and even protest because the list seemed to be going on a long while.

LRH: Oh, really.

PC: Uh-huh.

LRH: All right. On the item "secrecy," has anything been suppressed? Boy, there is a suppress on that! And you think that that is because the item itself means suppress. Is that right?

PC: Secrecy can mean suppress, yes.

LRH: Is that what I understood you to say a moment ago?

PC: Yes, that would - uh - secrecy would be a suppression.

LRH: Uh-huh.

PC: It would be the big suppression. You suppress everything into secrecy.

- LRH: All right. On the item “secrecy,” has anything been suppressed.? All right. Secrecy. Secrecy. Secrecy. Secrecy. Secrecy. I don't know whether that's reading or not. On the item “secrecy,” has anything been suppressed? Boy, there is a suppression, just a pure garden-variety of suppression on this item “secrecy” now. What is it?
- PC: Well, I'm very secretive. I don't know if this is it. I'm very secretive out of- uh -fear, very often that - uh - and the fear is one of these - uh - unexplainable things that I just don't know. I might not come and tell you something that I don't want to keep to myself, and yet when I come to tell it to you it just won't come out.
- LRH: Hm.
- PC: That's why I say - therefore I say well, I'm secretive. I-I can't Well, the same thing, to take it outside here, to go and speak to my wife: I get there and I just wouldn't be able to talk to her. I can't -and you know, I pick up a pen and I -and I just can't pick up the pens to write. I mean, it's this bad. And it's fear or something, it's one of these things I just can't explain. But, therefore, I'm secretive. And I feel this is wrong, you see. But um -there you are.
- LRH: All right.
- PC: Hell of a suppression on -on the -well, a hell of suppression on my life over it, is one big suppression.
- LRH: All right. On the item “secrecy,” has anything been suppressed? All right. There is a different one, that's another one.
- PC: Uh
- LRH: Is this being protested?
- PC: Uh - it - it seems a terrific. . . I can well believe it's reading because there must be one - there should – an infinite number of suppressions on this item.
- LRH: Uh-huh.
- PC: And, uh-uh, I just -it might be a little while cleaning this up because - uh - to hear you say secrecy, what to me means suppression, and that's making me suppress all along, all the time. You see?
- LRH: Uh-huh. Hm. All right. On the item “secrecy,” has anything been suppressed? On the item “secrecy,” has anything been suppressed? All right. Unless you can think of a ready answer, I'm going to drop that one.
- PC: Right.
- LRH: All right?
- PC: Uh-huh.

LRH: All right?

PC: Yes.

LRH: Very good. I'm just going to read it just straight here. Secrecy. Secrecy. This is reading, Mr. Williams, which probably gives us the source of our trouble. That has not listed down. Your proper action is to continue that twenty-five items. See if you find something else. Continue it maybe a little bit further -see if you find something else.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: If you don't find anything on those, go back to the source list. Because there's something awful wrong on an item that won't list down.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: See how you'd work that out?

Auditor: Uh-huh. Yes, I thought of that.

LRH: Yeah. And it is reading. The button shouldn't be charged on this thing.

Auditor: Hm. No, that's charge.

LRH: Your last action in desperation, if you lost everything in all directions and you could find nothing else by extending your source list, you know, couldn't find anything and so forth, why, would be to try to carry on with this item because you've seen it rocket read.

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: Usually you don't get into this much trouble. But early on -early on a goal that's having a hard time firing, see. I'm amazed that this goal's got a tick on it or anything like that, you see, that might still be just greased out.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: As easy as that. But he, not knowing whether this is his item or not, not knowing really whether secrecy is out or not, or in, you know - disoriented on the thing-is giving an example here because this needle is going back and forth here into a perpetual God-'elp-us, you notice. It's been very hard to read. It's not your dirty needle you used to have, you know, that's not it. This is just a wild swing. And it's doing it constantly one way or the other. And it's smoother now than it was. And what have I done? I've drilled the goal "to know"; I drilled "secrecy" a tiny bit. But actually that "secrecy" shouldn't be reading, see? It just shouldn't be reading. We shouldn't have to tiger drill it to make it not read, see. You don't tiger drill these things, you list them.

Auditor: Uh-huh. Incomplete list.

LRH: Apparently. Simple. Now, the chances of your having a wrong_ goal here are very remote. Because it has been seen to fire and you haven't got enough items yet to make this goal read well.

Auditor: Uh-huh.

LRH: It'll take you as many as twenty-six goals -pardon me, items to run it out. And it might just take five, six, seven accurately found, instantly found, you know, very smooth, easy-on-the-pc items and the goal will be firing like crazy. Got that?

Auditor: Yeah.

LRH: So, that's all there is to that.

Auditor: Hm.

LRH: All right. You can straighten these out in due course. Now. Let's put in a little bit of rudiments here, shall we? For the end of this demonstration.

PC: All right.

LRH: All right. And we're just going to put in the big mid ruds for the end of the demonstration. Okay. In this checkout, has anything been suppressed?

PC: Don't think so. You can have my all. Except what I've suppressed on secrecy.

LRH: All right. All right. In this demonstration, has anything been suppressed? All right. I changed the word there from that, to checkout to that. In this checkout, has anything been suppressed? There has been something suppressed here.

PC: Uh -yes, you asked me and I suppressed the nature of the paper I threw away.

LRH: All right. Very good. In this checkout, has anything been suppressed?

PC: I don't think so.

LRH: All right. I'll check it again. In this checkout, has anything been suppressed? All right. That's clean. In this checkout, is there anything you've been careful of?

PC: Careful to cooperate. That's about all.

LRH: All right. In this checkout -I'll check it on the meter. In this checkout, is there anything you've been careful of? I'm afraid there is one there.

PC: Well, I was very careful to try and follow the discussion between you and Peter on this complete list. Because I was up here, you see.

LRH: All right. In this checkout, is there anything you've been careful of?

PC: I was careful to look for answers. And -I don't get anything else.

LRH: All right. Very good. I'll check that on the meter. In this checkout, is there anything you've been careful of? There is another one.

PC: Oh, careful to give a good impression, I think. Yes.

LRH: Oh, all right.

PC: Yes.

LRH: All right. In this checkout, is there anything you've been careful of? I've got another one.

PC: Uh -I was careful when I was speaking about Peter, not to accuse him of doing something wrong. Because I don't think he did at that time but I tried to wrap it up nicely when I came out with that one.

LRH: All right. In this checkout, is there anything you've been careful of? Another one.

PC: Well, I was careful, I think, at one stage not to mention somebody 's name.

LRH: Yeah. All right.

PC: I hid that one for a bit there, but still.

LRH: Okay. In this checkout, is there anything you've been careful of? I don't think there was anything there. All right. In this checkout, is there anything you've failed to reveal?

PC: Well, I didn't reveal all the suppressions that-obviously that were on that -on the secrecy. But on the other hand, I couldn't say that I've revealed all that I was aware of. Put it that way.

LRH: All right. All right. In this checkout, is there anything you've failed to reveal?

PC: No.

LRH: All right. I'll check that on the meter. In this checkout, is there anything you have failed to reveal? I've got a kick on that. What is it?

PC: Well, I was -I failed to reveal to Peter at times I didn't think he was speaking loudly enough to get into that microphone. Because he's over there and the microphone's there, you see.

LRH: Oh, I see.

PC: I was trying to give him signals at times. Perhaps I failed to reveal to him what I actually wanted was for him to speak up so that everybody could join in.

LRH: All right. In this checkout, is there anything you've failed to reveal? There's another one.

- PC: Something to do with the fear of- uh -something to do with the fear of telling of- of saying things. Oh that -that it doesn't apply to everything. There's some - I've never been able to work out what the line is that I get scared about talking, see, to people about. But it's just something I -and there doesn't seem to be a common denominator. I mean, there's lots of things I'm quite open about and there's no difficulty in talking to people about it. Some things, and I haven't sorted this out, no doubt it will come out in the goal "to know," when I'll -I run that out, but it's - uh - it's certainly there and I think it's something to do with showing myself up in a bad light and so on. And I didn't reveal all that I've just told you, but that's as far as I know on that subject.
- LRH: All right. Okay. In this checkout, is there anything you have failed to reveal? I don't think there was anything more on that.
- PC: Good.
- LRH: Okay. Now. In this checkout, has anything been invalidated? I didn't get anything except a latent something or other. In this checkout, has anything been suggested? There is a suggest.
- PC: You suggested -you suggested one time -you didn't mean it - but I got the suggestion that I'd got a dirty, filthy needle there. I was a bit concerned about this.
- LRH: Oh, all right. I'm sorry.
- PC: I've done a bit of suggesting-I'm a bit uh -I did notice that the tone arm was rather low and I -and uh -suggested there must be something wrong with being checked out on TV that gives me this very low tone arm.
- LRH: Uh-huh.
- PC: And I was a bit puzzled about that.
- LRH: All right. In this checkout, has anything been suggested? All right. I don't think there was anything there. In this checkout, has a mistake being made? I'd say yes. Just to be careful.
- PC: Oh, yes. It's to do with murder or murderer. And uh -you found ,'murderer. "And as you know, I always disputed and protested this to some extent. And you said you got witnesses and so on. And I always had the thing where I couldn't pass it, and when he came up with "murder" such as it was, I said, well, that was the item, it was "murder" not "murderer." I didn't mind but a "murder," but "murderer" didn't - never seemed ... I don't see any real reason for this, but "murder" seemed to fit so much better, do you see? And so this was again mentioned. And you said, after Peter said it was "murder," yo'd again said "murderer," do you see, down the... and uh -when actually the item found was "murder." I don't know - uh

-possibly a bit of a missed withhold. I don't know whether you really appreciated the item that you found was "murderer," but the item Peter ran was murder. And uh - they're two different things.

LRH: All right. Very good. Very good. Okay. In this checkout, has a mistake been made? All right. I don't think there was one there. In this checkout, is there anything you have been anxious about? Oh, I think so, at a guess.

PC: Anxious about tone arm. Anxious about Peter's speaking loudly enough. Uh - anxious for Peter sometimes that he wouldn't get on. Anxious that we got a - a decent reac for them out on the other side. Uh - c bit about uh -anxious about Mary Sue because I took away her screens upstairs. Um, oh, I think that's the lot.

LRH: All right. Very good. In this checkout, is there anything you've been anxious about? Oh, dear. Let me see. Let me give that again. In this checkout, is there anything you've been anxious about? I think there is. One more.

PC: Yeah, I've been anxious about this - uh -feeling of fear that comes up even in -on these sessions, on TV, and I can't make it out because I can't see ... It seems - uh - I've no -I've no sympathy for the students when they come here. There's nothing and I -and I'm quite happy to come and sit down here and do it. And yet once I sit down here and you start asking rudiment questions and so on, and yaaaa, and I feel so filthy and secretive, and so on. And yet - I - I don't get this at all. I've been anxious about that.

LRH: All right. In this checkout, is there anything you've been anxious about? Do you think there's another answer there? I couldn't make it.

PC: I have - I haven't got one.

LRH: All right. Let me check it once more. In this checkout, is there anything you've been anxious about? Yes, there's another.

PC: I was anxious that that would read there because I thought we cleaned it, you see, and this always happens. Peter gets an equivocal and it always reads the next time.

LRH: Oh, I see. All right.

PC: And I get anxious about it.

LRH: In this checkout, is there anything you've been anxious about? I don't know. Anxious about. There is another one.

PC: I was anxious about the time once.

LRH: Oh, yeah.

PC: Uh-huh.

LRH: All right. Very good. In this checkout, is there anything you've been anxious about? Well, I don't think that had anything on it at all. All right. In this checkout, has anything been protested? Yes.

PC: I was probably protesting um - Prepcheck or the drilling of uh - taking suppress off "secrecy."

LRH: Hm.

PC: I don't know of any other protest at all.

LRH: All right. Very good. In this checkout, has anything been protested? That looked clean to me. And in this checkout, has anything been decided? There's one.

PC: Well, you decided to set a course of action in my case. Uh -and I think I've decided something on this - there must be something more on this question of fear, I think a - decision.

LRH: All right. Very good. In this checkout, has anything been decided? I don't think there was anything there - All right. Now, how are you doing.?

PC: All right. I feel quite - uh - quite perky as a matter of fact. As we went through these rudiments I did really come up quite a bit.

LRH: All right. Very good. It would be unusual to put all these in, in a checkout, but I thought you deserved them.

PC: Thank you.

LRH: All right. Is there anything you care to ask or say before I end this checkout?

PC: Uh, no, thank you.

LRH: All right.

PC: Thank you very much for giving it to me. I appreciate it.

LRH: Good enough. Here it is: end of checkout.

PC: Thank you.

LRH: Okay. There we go.

Well, you've seen something of a straighten-up tonight on a case. And I've simply reviewed the case. But the truth of the matter is, is there is no large read on this goal. That doesn't at this stage of the game, however, mean a thing. If rocket reads are being actually observed and checked out on this case, that's no excuse not to go along and follow the thing through. But it might be a little more difficult than it first appears.

Now, the main difficulty which is being caused on this case and why this-I wanted to find out why Peter here was writing down lots of question marks and having trouble on what read and that sort of thing. Now, I see the reason for this. The reason for this is that somewhere in 2-12 they must have kicked in some sort of a needle pattern of some kind or another or the pc was upset about some incomplete package or upset about something there one way or the other.

And what I would do with this pc is to give a good interested -interesting approach, which is a nice smooth Prepcheck. And I would do that this way: I would take goals, listing, auditing, auditors, something like this, and I'd make this little list. I'd make this little list and write it down and then I would assess it over here on the meter. And I'd give it a nice assessment and find out which one of these things went pow! And then I would run an eighteen-button Prepcheck on it. Just like that. And I'd be sure to give this pc a very, very, very smooth auditing-smooth auditing session, smooth auditing approach. I would give them everything in the world to build up ARC, confidence squared up, get anything he's worried about. Don't you see? Any one of these things, you see. I'd make him feel good. Particularly I'd pull any missed withholds from auditors and that sort of thing.

But I wouldn't pull it in any naggish fashion. You understand? I'd pull it very smoothly. It's not that this pc is in bad shape: this pc isn't in bad shape. But some of these 242 packages have apparently roughed this pc's needle up. Because I know this pc's needle and I have it smooth as glass. And that doesn't mean that Peter did anything wrong particularly or otherwise, but something somewhere along the line here has roughed this thing up. And I would try to get rid of that needle pattern and after that audit him on a very smooth, clean needle.

That's the first thing I would do. That doesn't necessarily take a long time. That pays good, heavy dividends, don't you see? Because then you're operating with a pc who has some good confidence in the auditor, and so forth. I'd go along-it wouldn't take too long, take maybe at the absolute outside two three-hour sessions. I mean two two-hour sessions. Because that's done rather rapidly.

Then I'd come back here and I'd test list this item "dissemination." I would just simply go another twenty-five, something like that, watching this new, clean needle we have here and make sure that we didn't have a rocket read as we went that twenty-five. And if we didn't have, then I would take "dissemination," which has already done a rocket read on check-out-Tiger Drill, but it wasn't checked out -and I would take "dissemination" and I would establish whether or not it was a terminal or an optterm and I would list it down to a new item. And I think I'd find that we were approaching closer and closer into the bank that the goal would read better and better. Just go on down the Spiral Staircase as long as I could get rocket reads. And that would be the criteria.

That is everything that I would do. I wouldn't do anything new, novel, strange, any-

thing else. I would just do -find out what it is that's worrying this pc, whether it's goals or listing or auditing or auditors or whatever it is that's worrying him. Give him a Prepcheck on that particular subject. Good, high ARC with the auditor. Go back in, list this thing the additional twenty-five items that proves it up. No read on the twenty-five items, obviously "dissemination" is it. Take "dissemination," terminal or oppterm, oppose it on down the line.

And of course, the only thing about it would be if I never got a new rocket read and the lists were all bare and something was dead wrong. I would then assume something was awfully wrong with the source list. And I would go back to the source list on the goal and I would sort that out. And I would complete it and only assessing the new items that I put on the list completing it, go over those and see what I could find.

That's everything I would do with the case.

But we're looking too far ahead. This case is perfectly all right and it probably will work out that "dissemination" simply has to be opposed. And you'll see that it won't take the auditor too long to do this.

But in actual fact, this case has had too much-too many question marks thrown in his way. He's worried a little bit about this, he's worried about that and, of course, he's stuck right here in this secrecy. Well, this thing is still kicking. The fact that it's still kicking, that it had been listed down in the session we agree, possibly was clean. Well, that was good enough, but because it doesn't have an oppterm that thing is going to keep kicking. Don't you see? That's enough to make it tick. But it's also enough to make one question whether or not the list was complete in the first place. That's everything we know about it.

All right. Now, that's it. And thank you very much.

And good night.